arXiv:1409.4461v3 [math.AG] 22 Aug 2016

On generalized category $\mathcal{O}$ for a quiver variety

Ben Webster[1]
Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA

Abstract. In this paper, we give a method for relating the generalized category $\mathcal{O}$ defined by the author and collaborators to explicit finitely presented algebras, and apply this to quiver varieties. This allows us to describe combinatorially not just the structure of these category $\mathcal{O}$'s but also how certain interesting families of derived equivalences, the shuffling and twisting functors, act on them.

In the case of Nakajima quiver varieties, the algebras that appear are weighted KLR algebras and their steadied quotients, defined by the author in earlier work. In particular, these give a geometric construction of canonical bases for simple representations, tensor products and Fock spaces. If the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action used to define the category $\mathcal{O}$ is a "tensor product action" in the sense of Nakajima, then we arrive at the unique categorifications of tensor products; in particular, we obtain a geometric description of the braid group actions used by the author in defining categorifications of Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants. Similarly, in affine type A, an arbitrary action results in the diagrammatic algebra equivalent to blocks of category $\mathcal{O}$ for cyclotomic Cherednik algebras.

This approach also allows us to show that these categories are Koszul and understand their Koszul duals; in particular, we can show that categorifications of minuscule tensor products in types ADE are Koszul.

In the affine case, this shows that our category $\mathcal{O}$'s are Koszul and their Koszul duals are given by category $\mathcal{O}$'s with rank-level dual dimension data, and that this duality switches shuffling and twisting functors.

1. Introduction

In this paper, our aim is to introduce a new technique for relating geometric and algebraic categories. Since the categories on both sides of this correspondence are probably not familiar to many readers, we will provide a teaser for the results before covering any of the details. Amongst the results we will cover are:

- A new geometric construction of categories (using quiver varieties) equivalent to cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier (KLR) algebras and their weighted generalizations. In particular, this gives geometric constructions of the canonical bases of simple representations and their tensor products in types ADE, and on higher level Fock spaces in affine type A as the simple objects in a category of sheaves.

  Alternatively, the reader can think of these as Kazhdan-Lusztig type character formulae for the decomposition multiplicities of category $\mathcal{O}$ in these cases.

[1]Supported by the NSF under Grant DMS-1151473 and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation

The rôle of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials is played by the coefficients of the canonical basis.
- A geometric description of the braid group action used in [Webb] to construction categorifications of Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants.
- A new method for proving Koszulity of these algebras, providing the first proof that categorifications of minuscule tensor products in types D and E are Koszul, and giving a new proof that blocks of category $\mathcal{O}$ for Cherednik algebras of $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$ are Koszul.

In recent years, a great deal of attention in representation theory has been directed toward generalizations of the BGG category $\mathcal{O}$; perhaps the most famous of these is that for Cherednik algebras [GGOR03], but Braden, Licata, Proudfoot and the author have also considered these for "hypertoric enveloping algebras" [BLPW12]. The author and the same group of collaborators have given a definition subsuming all these examples into a uniform definition of a category $\mathcal{O}$ attached to a conical symplectic singularity, a Hamiltonian $\mathbb{C}^*$-action commuting with the conical structure and a choice of **period** (which the reader should think of as a central character) [BPW, BLPW]. Many natural properties of this category follow from general principles, but at the moment, the techniques for understanding these categories are not well-developed.

One consistent theme is that these categories have simple realizations as representation categories of finite dimensional algebras with combinatorial presentations.

- For BGG category $\mathcal{O}$, this follows from the combinatorial descriptions of the categories of Soergel bimodules by Elias, Khovanov and Williamson [EK10, EW].
- For hypertoric category $\mathcal{O}$, these are provided by certain combinatorial algebras defined by Braden, Licata, Proudfoot and the author [BLPW10].
- For a Cherednik algebra of type $G(r, 1, \ell)$, these are given in [Webc] by certain variants of Hecke and KLR algebras.

One of the most interesting symplectic singularities is a Nakajima quiver variety for a quiver Γ, especially for a finite or affine Dynkin diagram. We have already proven that this category carries a categorical action of the Lie algebra attached to Γ [Weba], and we know that such a structure has a lot of power over the category it acts on.

For any Hamiltonian $\mathbb{C}^*$-action commuting with the conical structure on a quiver variety, we have a diagrammatically defined algebra which is a candidate for category $\mathcal{O}$: a reduced steadied quotient of a weighted Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra [Webd]. Of course, this is not such a familiar object, but it is purely combinatorial in nature, and certain special cases have had a bit more of a chance to filter into public consciousness.

To simplify things for the introduction, assume that Γ is finite or affine type ADE. In this case, we separate appropriate $\mathbb{C}^*$-actions into 2 cases:

- Case 1: the action is a **tensor product action** as used by Nakajima [Nak01]; this is induced by a cocharacter $\mathbb{C}^* \to G_{\mathbf{w}}$ in Nakajima's notation.
- Case 2: Γ is a cycle, and the sum of the weights of the edges of the cycle is non-zero.

In the first case, the Grothendieck group of category $\mathcal{O}$ has a natural map to a tensor product of simple representations; in the second, it has a natural map to a higher-level Fock space.

Theorem A. *For a generic integral period, category $\mathcal{O}$ is equivalent to the heart of a t-structure on:*

Case 1: *the category of dg-modules over a tensor product algebra $T^{\underline{\lambda}}$ from* [Webb, §4.2].

Case 2: *the category of dg-modules over a Fock space algebra T^{ϑ}, as studied in* [Webc, §4.1].

In Case 2, we also have an explicit description of the abelian category as a block of category $\mathcal{O}$ for a cyclotomic Cherednik algebra.

In each case, we actually get a stronger graded version of this theorem. In Cases 1 and 2 above, category $\mathcal{O}$ has a graded lift $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$, which we construct geometrically using Hodge theory. We compare these with the gradings on the algebras $T^{\underline{\lambda}}$, T^{ϑ}.

By [Web15, 8.7] and [Webc, 5.23], the algebras $T^{\underline{\lambda}}$ and T^{ϑ} are Morita equivalent to non-negatively graded algebras $\mathring{T}^{\underline{\lambda}}$ and $\mathring{T}^{\vartheta}$ which have degree 0 part semi-simple. We call a complex of graded projectives over such an algebra A **linear** if its ith homological degree is generated in degree $-i$. The category of linear complexes of projectives $\mathsf{LCP}(A)$ is a graded abelian category, where the functor of "grading shift" by a is given by shifting the homological grading by $-a$, and the internal grading by a (to maintain linearity). Theorem A can then be strengthened to:

Theorem A′. *In Case 1, resp. Case 2, the graded lift $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is equivalent to the graded category of linear projective complexes $\mathsf{LCP}(\mathring{T}^{\underline{\lambda}})$, resp. $\mathsf{LCP}(\mathring{T}^{\vartheta})$. This equivalence sends simples in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ to indecomposable projectives over the algebras $\mathring{T}^{\underline{\lambda}}$ and $\mathring{T}^{\vartheta}$ (thought of as one step complexes). This induces isomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$-modules:*

Case 1: *$K(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) \cong K(T^{\underline{\lambda}}\text{-gmod}) \cong V_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_\ell}$ to the Grothendieck group of graded projective modules over $T^{\underline{\lambda}}$, which is in turn isomorphic to a tensor product of (Lusztig integral forms of) simple modules over the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_\Gamma)$.*

Case 2: *$K(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) \cong K(T^{\vartheta}\text{-gmod}) \cong \mathbf{F}_{\vartheta}$ to the Grothendieck group of graded projective modules over T^{ϑ}, which is in turn isomorphic to a higher level q-Fock space.*

From the results of [Web15, Th. A] *and* [Webc, Th. B(5)], *it follows that in both cases, the simples in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ match the canonical bases of the tensor product and q-Fock space.*

These results follow from a more general yoga for understanding category $\mathcal{O}$ of symplectic reductions of vector spaces by studying the category of D-modules before reduction. We can also use the same principles to recover the hypertoric results of [BLPW12]. At the moment, we are not aware of any other especially interesting symplectic reductions of vector spaces on which to apply these techniques, but such examples may present themselves in the future. We also expect that the same ideas can apply to other categories of representations, the most obvious possibility being the Harish-Chandra bimodules considered in [BPW]. It is also worth noting that this approach only covers certain special parameters and changes will be needed to understand the structure of these categories at general parameters.

One interesting application of this approach is that it gives a new geometric proof of the Koszulity of these category $\mathcal{O}$'s, conjectured in [BLPW].

Theorem B. *If the Hamiltonian $\mathbb{C}^*$-action has isolated fixed points on the symplectic quotient, and E and G satisfy certain geometric conditions (which we denote (✠) or (†)), then the category $\mathcal{O}$ is Koszul.*

In particular, if $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is a list of minuscule weights, then the tensor product algebra $T^{\underline{\lambda}}$ is Koszul.

This also gives a new proof of known Koszulity results for affine quiver varieties and hypertoric varieties.

Furthermore, there are two classes of auto-functors defined on categories $\mathcal{O}$:

- **twisting functors**, which arise from tensoring with the sections of quantized line bundles, are defined in [BPW, §6].
- **shuffling functors**, which arise from changing the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, are defined in [BLPW, §7].

These can be identified with diagrammatically defined functors:

Theorem C. *The functors of Chuang and Rouquier's braid group action are intertwined with twisting functors in Cases 1 and 2. The shuffling functors are intertwined with the diagrammatic functors given by reordering tensor factors defined in* [Webb, §6] *(in Case 1) or change-of-charge functors as defined in* [Webc, §5.3] *(in Case 2).*

In Case 2, the Koszul duality between rank-level dual category $\mathcal{O}$'s interchanges these two affine braid group actions.

In particular, this theorem gives an indication of how to give a geometric construction of the knot invariants categorifying Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants from [Webb], using quiver varieties. The above theorem gives a geometric description as shuffling functors of the braid group action used in that paper; describing the cups and caps will require techniques we will not delve into here.

2. Reduction and quotients

2.1. **Symplectic quotients.** Let E be a complex vector space, and let G be a connected reductive algebraic group with a fixed faithful linear action on E. Let $H = \mathrm{Aut}_G(E)$ and let $Z = H \cap G = Z(G)$.

In this case, we consider the symplectic GIT quotient $\mathfrak{M} = T^*E/\!\!/\!\!/_\alpha G = \mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_\alpha G$ for α a character of G such that all semi-stable points for α are stable. Since the action of G on the stable points is locally free, the variety $\mathfrak{M}$ is rationally smooth. Furthermore, it is equipped with a projective map $\pi\colon \mathfrak{M} \to T^*E/\!\!/\!\!/_0 G = \mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_0 G$ sending a stable orbit to the unique closed orbit in its closure. Let $\mathfrak{N}$ be the image of this map; if $\mathfrak{N}$ is normal, this is the same as the affinization of $\mathfrak{M}$. In many cases, the map π is a symplectic rational resolution of $\mathfrak{N}$. Examples of such varieties are Nakajima quiver varieties and hypertoric varieties (for more general discussions of these varieties, see [Nak98] and [Pro08], respectively).

Let $\mathbb{S}$ be a copy of $\mathbb{C}^*$ acting on $T^*E \cong E \oplus E^*$ via the action $s \cdot (x, \xi) = (s^{-a}x, s^{-b}\xi)$ for some $a, b \geq 0$ with $a + b = n > 0$. We assume that the $\mathbb{S}$-fixed points $(T^*E)^{\mathbb{S}}$ have no non-constant G-invariant functions; if $a, b > 0$, this is automatic, but if $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, it may fail. We'll also use $\mathbb{S}$ to denote the resulting conical action on $\mathfrak{M}$.

Also fix a Hamiltonian $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathfrak{M}$ which factors through H/Z; to avoid confusion (and match notation from [BPW, BLPW]), we denote this copy of $\mathbb{C}^*$ by $\mathbb{T}$.

There's a natural action of H/Z and thus $\mathbb{T}$ on the ring $\mathbb{C}[T^*E]^G$. Since G and H are reductive, the ring $\mathbb{C}[T^*E]^G$ is finitely generated and we can choose each generator to be a $\mathbb{T}$ weight vector. We'll also want to make a slightly unusual assumption:

Assumption 2.1. *The algebra $\mathbb{C}[T^*E]^G$ has a generating set such that any generator of negative $\mathbb{T}$-weight $-k$ has $\mathbb{S}$-weight $\leq k$.*

Obviously, this can be achieved by simply replacing $\mathbb{T}$ with a positive multiple. None of our constructions are changed by replacing the action of $\mathbb{T}$ with a positive multiple, so we lose no generality in assuming this; it will simply make some of our later statements a bit cleaner. If we are given two commuting $\mathbb{C}^*$ actions, we take their product to be the pointwise product of their values. We let $\mathbb{T}'$ be the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathfrak{M}$ given by the pointwise product of $\mathbb{T}$ with $\mathbb{S}$.

2.2. **Quantizing symplectic quotients.** Let $\mathfrak{D}_E$ be the ring of differential operators on E; we wish to think of this as a non-commutative version of the cotangent bundle T^*E. The action of G extends to one on $\mathfrak{D}_E$, which is inner; we have a non-commutative moment map $\mu_\chi \colon U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{D}_E$ given by $\mu_\chi(X) = X_E - \chi(X)$ where X_E is the vector field on E attached to the action of $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, thought of as a differential operator.

After fixing χ, there is a non-commutative algebra given by the quantum Hamiltonian reduction $A'_\chi = \left(\mathfrak{D}_E/\mathfrak{D}_E \cdot \mu_\chi(\mathfrak{g})\right)^G$. We let ξ be the vector field induced by the action of $\mathbb{T}$; you should think of this as a quantization of the moment map for the action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $\mathfrak{M}$. Since the actions of $\mathbb{T}$ and G commute, the element ξ induces an element of A'_χ, which we also denote by ξ.

There is also a sheafified version of this construction. Attached to χ, we have a sheaf of algebras on $\mathfrak{M}$ called $\mathcal{D}_\chi$; you should think of $\mathfrak{M}$ as "morally" a cotangent bundle and $\mathcal{D}_\chi$ as an analogue of the sheaf of twisted microlocal differential operators.

More explicitly, we can think of the $\mathbb{S}$-action as encoding a filtration on the Weyl algebra $\mathfrak{D}_E$ where linear functions on E have degree a, and constant vector fields degree b. Thus, $a = 0, b = 1$ corresponds to the usual order filtration. The h-adically complete Rees algebra $R(\mathfrak{D}_E)$ of this filtration is generated by the elements $h^{a/n}x_i, h^{b/n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \in \mathfrak{D}_E[[h^{1/n}]]$. We have an obvious isomorphism $R(\mathfrak{D}_E)/h^{1/n}R(\mathfrak{D}_E) \cong \mathbb{C}[T^*E]$. Thus, we can define a sheaf $\mathscr{E}_E$ on T^*E, by letting $\mathscr{E}_E(U)$ for $U \subset T^*E$ be the algebra $R(\mathfrak{D}_E)$ localized at the multiplicative system of elements of $R(\mathfrak{D}_E)$ which are non-vanishing on U modulo $h^{1/n}$. We let $\mathcal{D}_E := \mathscr{E}_E[h^{-1}]$ and let

$$\mathcal{D}_\chi := \psi_*(\mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{op}}_{\mathcal{D}_E}(\mathcal{D}_E/\mathcal{D}_E \cdot \mu_\chi(\mathfrak{g}))|_{\mu^{-1}(0)^{ss}})$$

where ψ is the quotient map $\mu^{-1}(0)^{ss} \to \mathfrak{M}$. This is a sheaf of free $\mathbb{C}((h))$-modules, and it is equipped with a free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$-lattice $\mathcal{D}_\chi(0)$ induced by the image of $\mathscr{E}_E$. We let $A_\chi := \Gamma(\mathfrak{M}; \mathcal{D}_\chi)^{\mathbb{S}}$. If the algebras of global functions on $\mathfrak{N}$ and $\mathfrak{M}$ coincide, i.e. $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{N}] = \mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]^G \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{M}]$, then by [BPW, 3.13], we have that $A'_\chi = A_\chi$. Otherwise, we just have a map $A'_\chi \to A_\chi$ which will be surjective if $\mathfrak{N}$ is normal, but may not be

injective. Let us abuse notation and let ξ denote the image of the vector field of same name in A'_χ.

The category of good $\mathbb{S}$-equivariant modules over $\mathcal{D}_\chi$ is related to the category of A_χ-modules by an adjoint pair of functors

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}} \colon \mathcal{D}_\chi\text{-mod} \to A_\chi\text{-mod} \qquad \mathrm{Loc} \colon A_\chi\text{-mod} \to \mathcal{D}_\chi\text{-mod}$$

discussed in [BPW, §4.2]. We wish to compare two special subcategories under these functors.

Definition 2.2 ([BLPW, 3.10])**.** *The category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the category of finitely generated A_χ-modules on which ξ acts locally finitely with finite dimensional generalized eigenspaces, such that the real parts of the eigenvalues of ξ are bounded above by some real number b.*

This is what most representation theorists would probably think of as the basic object considered in this paper; however, its dependence on χ is quite complicated, and beyond our ability to analyze in any generality in this work. Instead, we'll replace it with a category which is easier to analyze, and gives the same answer for many χ. Let $o \in \mathfrak{N}$ be the image of the origin in T^*E.

Definition 2.3 ([BLPW, 3.15])**.** *The category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is the category of coherent $\mathcal{D}_\chi$-modules which are supported on the subvariety*

$$\mathfrak{M}^+ = \left\{ p \in \mathfrak{M} \mid \lim_{\mathbb{T} \ni t \to 0} t \cdot p \in \pi^{-1}(o) \right\}$$

and which are $\mathbb{T}$-regular, that is, they possess a $\mathcal{D}_\chi(0)$-lattice which is preserved by the induced action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$.

For those readers (probably most) who are not familiar with these sheaves and the general machinery of deformation quantization in algebraic geometry (see [BK04, KS12]), a $\mathcal{D}_\chi(0)$-lattice should be thought of as an equivalent of a good filtration on a D-module. All these issues are discussed in great detail in [BPW, §4].

The category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is a "local" version of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$; our primary results in this paper will be stated in terms of this category, since it is more conducive to analysis from a topological perspective. The relation between these categories is close, but subtle. In particular, by [BLPW, 3.19], the functors Loc and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}}$ preserve these subcategories, so we have induced functors

$$\mathrm{Loc} \colon \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{a}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}} \qquad \Gamma_{\mathbb{S}} \colon \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{a}}.$$

These are adjoint equivalences for many values of χ (though far from always). In this case, we say **localization holds** at χ. Though its details are best left to other papers, we note for the benefit of those who worry that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is too far afield from representation theory that these functors show that:

- $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ for a given parameter χ is always equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for some possibly different parameter $\chi + n\alpha$ with $n \gg 0$ [BPW, 5.11] & [BLPW, 3.19].
- often even when these functors are not equivalences, the derived functors $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{Loc}$ and $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}}$ induce an equivalence between the subcategories of the derived category with cohomology in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ [BPW, 4.13], see also [MN14].

One important consequence of these results is that:

Corollary 2.4. *The categories $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ corresponding to a fixed $\mathbb{T}$-action attached to different choices of $\mathbb{S}$-action (i.e. different choices of a, b) are canonically isomorphic.*

Proof. This equivalence can be constructed by tensoring with a quantization of a large power of an ample line bundle, taking $\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}}$, and then Loc for the different $\mathbb{S}$-action, since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ only depends on $\mathbb{T}$. More canonically, [BPW, 5.8] describes the category $\mathcal{D}_\chi$ as finitely generated modules over a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra ${}_iZ_j$ which is independent of the choice of $\mathbb{S}$-action, modulo bounded modules. The category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the full subcategory of modules $\{{}_iN\}$ where almost all ${}_iN$ lie in the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ for ${}_iZ_i$ by [BLPW, 3.19]. □

2.3. **Quotients of dg-categories.** At the base of this work is the interplay between G-equivariant $\mathfrak{D}_E$-modules on E and $\mathcal{D}_\chi$-modules.

The relevant category to consider is that of (G, χ) strongly equivariant $\mathfrak{D}_E$-modules.

Definition 2.5. *We call a $\mathfrak{D}_E$-module M* **strongly** (G, χ)**-equivariant** *if the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ via left multiplication by μ_χ integrates to a G-action.*

As usual, we wish to consider these as the heart of a t-structure on the dg-category of complexes of $\mathfrak{D}_E$-modules on the Artin stack E/G with strongly (G, χ)-equivariant cohomology bounded-below in degree; that is to say, we wish to work in the dg-enriched equivariant bounded-below derived category of E. This is perhaps most conveniently understood as the dg-category of D-modules on the simplicial manifold given by the Borel space of this action:

$$\cdots \overset{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow}\overset{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow}\overset{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} G \times G \times E \overset{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow}\overset{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow}\overset{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} G \times E \rightrightarrows E$$

Recall that Drinfeld (and others) have introduced the quotient of a dg-category by a dg-subcategory; see [Dri04] for a more detailed discussion of this construction. In intuitive terms, the quotient of a dg-category by a dg-subcategory is the universal dg-category which receives a functor killing all objects in that subcategory.

Kashiwara and Rouquier [KR08, 2.8] have shown that for a free action $\mathcal{D}_\chi$-modules on a quotient can be interpreted as strongly equivariant modules on the source. It is more useful for us to use a slightly stronger version of this result.

There is a microlocalization functor for $\mathfrak{D}_E$-modules, given $\boldsymbol{\mu}\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{D}_E \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}_E} \mathcal{M}$. We can use this to construct the reduction functor (called the **Kirwan functor** in [BPW, §5.4])

$$\mathfrak{r}(\mathcal{M}) = \psi_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_E}(\mathcal{D}_E/\mathcal{D}_E \cdot \mu_\chi(\mathfrak{g}), \boldsymbol{\mu}\mathcal{M})|_{\mu^{-1}(0)^{ss}} : \mathcal{D}_E\text{-mod} \to \mathcal{D}_\chi\text{-mod},$$

given by taking the homomorphism sheaf from $\mathcal{D}_E/\mathcal{D}_E \cdot \mu_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ to $\mathcal{M}$ (which is the same as the sheaf of sections m satisfying $\mu_\chi(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot m = 0$), restricting it to the semi-stable locus, and pushing forward to the quotient $\mathfrak{M}$. This is a left module over $\mathcal{D}_\chi$, since $\mathcal{D}_E/\mathcal{D}_E \cdot \mu_\chi(\mathfrak{g})|_{\mu^{-1}(0)^{ss}}$ is naturally a right module over $\psi^*\mathcal{D}_\chi$.

In [BPW, 5.19], we define a left adjoint $\mathfrak{r}_!$ to this reduction functor. A version of this construction with more general assumptions is also given in [BL, 2.8]. The functor is most readily constructed by thinking of $\mathcal{D}_\chi$-mod in terms of $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras; we have a reduction $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra ${}_i\mathcal{Z}_j = \mathfrak{D}_E/\left(\mathfrak{D}_E \cdot \mu_{\chi+j\alpha}(\mathfrak{g}) + \mu_{\chi+i\alpha}(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_E\right)$ for $i > j \geq 0$; since G is reductive, this is the same as the semi-invariants of $\mathfrak{D}_E/\mathfrak{D}_E \cdot \mu_{\chi+j\alpha}(\mathfrak{g})$ for the character α^{i-j}. This has a natural map to the quantum homogeneous coordinate

ring ${}_iZ_j$ defined in [BPW, 5.6], defined by $\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}; {}_i\mathcal{T}'_j)^{\$}$, the sections of quantizations of powers of the ample line bundle defined by the GIT description. This map is not necessarily an isomorphism, but its kernel and cokernel are bounded and thus it induces an equivalence

$$\mathcal{Z}\text{-mod}\,/\mathcal{Z}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{bd}} \cong Z\text{-mod}\,/Z\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{bd}} \cong \mathcal{D}\text{-mod} \tag{1}$$

by [BPW, 5.8]. If $N_j = N/\mu_{\chi+j\alpha}(\mathfrak{g})\cdot N$, then we have a natural multiplication ${}_i\mathcal{Z}_j \otimes N_j \to N_i$, which makes $\{N_i\}$ into a ${}_i\mathcal{Z}_j$. For j sufficiently large, we have

$$N_j \cong \mathfrak{r}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N)_i := \Gamma(\mathfrak{M}; {}_j\mathcal{T}'_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_\chi} \mathfrak{r}(N))^{\$},$$

so modulo bounded submodules, $\{N_i\}$ and $\mathfrak{r}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N)$ coincide. Thus the equivalences (1) displayed above intertwine $\mathfrak{r}$ with the functor of taking semi-invariants. The adjoint $\mathfrak{r}_!$ can thus be defined using the adjoint of semi-invariants. We consider the homogeneous sections $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{M})$, as a module over $\mathcal{Z}$, and we can define

$$\mathfrak{r}_!(\mathcal{M}) := Y \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{M}) \tag{2}$$

where Y is the $\mathfrak{D}_E$-$\mathcal{Z}$ bimodule $\{Y_k := \mathfrak{D}_E/\mathfrak{D}_E\mu_{\chi+k\alpha}(\mathfrak{g})\}$. By the description in [BPW, 5.18], this is the same as the $\mathfrak{D}_E$-module

$$\mathfrak{r}_!(\mathcal{M}) = Y_k \otimes_{A_{\chi+k\alpha}} \Gamma(\mathfrak{M}; {}_k\mathcal{T}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_\chi} \mathcal{M}) \text{ for } k \gg 0. \tag{3}$$

Both left and right adjoints to $\mathfrak{r}$ are constructed in [MN] using a different approach.

Proposition 2.6. *The functor $\mathfrak{r}$ realizes the dg-category of $\$$-equivariant $\mathcal{D}_\chi$-modules on $\mathfrak{M}$ as the quotient of the dg-category of strongly (G,χ)-equivariant $\mathfrak{D}_E$-modules by the subcategory of complexes whose cohomology has singular support in the unstable locus.*

Proof. Here, we apply [Dri04, 1.4].

- The functor $\mathfrak{r}$ is essentially surjective after passing to the homotopy category, since $\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{r}_!$ is isomorphic to the identity functor by [BPW, 5.19].
- All complexes whose cohomology is supported on the unstable locus are sent to exact, and thus contractible, complexes by pullback to the stable locus.
- The cone of the natural map $\mathfrak{r}_!\mathfrak{r}(M) \to M$ (which represents the functor given by the cone of the map $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(M,-) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(\mathfrak{r}(M), \mathfrak{r}(-))$) has cohomology supported on the unstable locus, since the induced map $\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{r}_!\mathfrak{r}(M) \to \mathfrak{r}(M)$ is an isomorphism.

Thus, the result follows immediately. □

While psychologically satisfying, this proposition doesn't directly give us a great deal of information about the category of $\$$-equivariant $\mathcal{D}$-modules since the quotient is a category in which it is difficult to calculate. On the other hand, it serves as a hand-hold on the way to more concrete results.

In particular, the adjoint $\mathfrak{r}_!$ provides a slightly more concrete approach to calculation in $\mathcal{D}_\chi$-mod, since $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\mathcal{D}_\chi}(M,N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\mathfrak{D}_E}(\mathfrak{r}_!M, \mathfrak{r}_!N)$; of course, the principle of conservation of trouble suggests that computing $\mathfrak{r}_!M$ is not particularly easy, but this at least allows one to work in a better understood category than $\mathcal{D}_\chi$-mod.

Remark 2.7. *We should also mention the work of Zheng and Li, which was an important inspiration for us. In this case, they work with the localization of the category of constructible sheaves on E/G by those with certain bad singular supports; this category can be compared with $\mathcal{D}$-*mod *using the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. Using D-modules instead of constructible sheaves has the very significant advantage that the quotient category is a concrete category of modules over a sheaf of algebras, rather than an abstraction.*

2.4. **Riemann-Hilbert and sheaves on the relative precore.** Now, assume that $\mathbb{T}$ acts on the space $\mathfrak{M}$ via a fixed cocharacter $\vartheta\colon \mathbb{T} \to H/Z$. Let

$$\tilde{G} = \left\{(h, g, t, t) \in H \times_Z G \times \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{T} \mid h \equiv \vartheta(t) \pmod{Z}\right\}$$

denote the fiber product of the maps $H \times_Z G \times \mathbb{S} \to H/Z \times \mathbb{S} \leftarrow \mathbb{T}'$; the group $\tilde{G}$ acts on T^*E, with the induced action on $\mathfrak{M}$ factoring through the projection character $\nu\colon \tilde{G} \to \mathbb{T}'$. We call a splitting of this character $\gamma\colon \mathbb{T}' \to \tilde{G}$ a **lift** of the $\mathbb{T}'$-action on $\mathfrak{M}$. A rational (real, etc.) lift is a splitting of the derivative of ν on the rational Lie algebras $\mathfrak{t}'_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Consider the action of the group $\tilde{G}$ on $\mu^{-1}(0) \times \mathbb{C}$ via restriction of the action on T^*E and the character ν.

Definition 2.8. *We let the* **relative precore** *pC of a cocharacter ϑ be the set of elements in $\mu^{-1}(0)$ that have a limit which lies in $(T^*E)^{\mathbb{S}}$ under a lift $\mathbb{T} \to H \times_Z G$.*

It will often be more useful for us to use an alternative characterization of this space. The equivalence of these definitions is why we require Assumption 2.1:

Proposition 2.9. *The set pC is the unstable points of $\mu^{-1}(0)$ with respect to ν. That is, it is the vanishing locus on $\mu^{-1}(0)$ of the functions which are G-invariant and positive weight for some (equivalently all) lifts of $\mathbb{T}'$. Equivalently,*

$$pC := \left\{x \in \mu^{-1}(0) \mid \overline{\tilde{G} \cdot (x, 1)} \cap T^*E \times \{0\} \neq \emptyset\right\}.$$

Proof. The set $(T^*E)^{\mathbb{S}}$ is the vanishing set of functions with positive $\mathbb{S}$-weight. Thus, the relative precore is the vanishing set of G-invariant functions of non-negative weight under $\mathbb{T}$ and positive weight under $\mathbb{S}$. Of course, any such function has positive weight for $\mathbb{T}'$, and thus vanishes on the unstable locus. On the other hand, the functions of positive $\mathbb{S}$ weight form an ideal of codimension 1 in $\mathbb{C}[T^*E]^G$, since otherwise we would have a non-constant invariant polynomial on $(T^*E)^{\mathbb{S}}$. Thus, $\mathbb{C}[T^*E]^G$ is a finitely generated algebra and we can choose the generators to all have positive $\mathbb{S}$-weight and be $\mathbb{T}$-weight vectors. Any monomial in the generators of Assumption 2.1 which contains a non-negative $\mathbb{T}$ weight term lies in the vanishing set of the relative precore. If a function has positive weight under $\mathbb{T}'$ and doesn't vanish on the relative precore, it must be a sum of monomials in generators with negative weight; however, we can choose our presentation so that these all have non-positive weight under $\mathbb{T}'$, by Assumption 2.1 so this is impossible. □

Example 2.10. *Let $E = \mathbb{C}^2$ with the scalar action of $G = \mathbb{C}^*$, the map $\mathbb{T} \to H/Z$ trivial and $a = 0, b = 1$. In this case, $\tilde{G} = G \times \mathbb{S}$, and a lift $\mathbb{T}' \to \tilde{G}$ determined by the weight n with*

which $\mathbb{T}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^2$. If $n \geq 0$, then the points in E all have limits; they are all fixed if $n = 0$, and all have limit 0 if $n > 0$. If $n < 0$, then the points with limits are those in the cotangent fiber $E^* = T_0^* E$ (since the weight of $\mathbb{T}'$ on this fiber is $-n-1$). Thus, the relative precore in this case is the union $E \cup E^*$.

Instead, we could choose $\mathbb{T}$ to be the induced Hamiltonian action on T^*E by the cocharacter $t \mapsto \left[\begin{smallmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, so the different lifts of $\mathbb{T}'$ possible have weights $n+1, n$ on E and $-n-2, -n-1$ on E^*. For $n \geq 0$, we get E as before, and for $n \leq -2$, we get E^*. But if $n = -1$, then the points with limits are the conormal space to the x-axis, since points on the x-axis have limits, and those on the y-axis do not. Thus, the relative precore consists the union of these 3 spaces.

Proposition 2.11. *The relative precore is an isotropic subvariety with finitely many components.*

Proof. Since the relative precore is an unstable locus, it carries a decomposition into Kirwan-Ness strata. Each Kirwan-Ness stratum corresponds to a conjugacy class of rational lifts $\mathbb{t} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let Y denote the space of elements with non-negative weight under a particular lift γ in this conjugacy class. This space is isotropic since γ acts on the symplectic form with weight $a+b$, so the annihilator of Y is the space on which the symplectic form acts with weight $> -a-b$. An open subset $Y^{KN} \subset Y$ lies in the corresponding Kirwan-Ness stratum. Attached to this cocharacter, we have a parabolic $P_\gamma \subset \tilde{G}$ preserving Y^{KN}, and we have $G \cdot Y^{KN} \cong G \times_{P_\gamma} Y^{KN}$. Let $X^{KN} = G \cdot Y^{KN} \cap \mu^{-1}(0)$. Now, consider the derivative $D\mu$ at a point in $x \in X^{KN} \cap Y$. By definition, the derivative of the moment map $D\mu$ at x sends $T_x(T^*E) \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ by the dual of the action map $D\alpha \colon \mathfrak{g} \to T_x(T^*E)$. So, if two vectors are tangent to X^{KN}, both are symplectic orthogonal to the G-orbit through this point. Thus, they lie in $W_x = (D\alpha(\mathfrak{g}) + T_x Y) \cap D\alpha(\mathfrak{g})^\perp$; since $W_x^\perp = (D\alpha(\mathfrak{g}) + (T_x Y)^\perp) \cap D\alpha(\mathfrak{g})^\perp$, this space is isotropic.

By our GIT type description of the relative precore, we have that the relative precore is the vanishing set of the semi-invariants for positive powers of ν; being a semi-invariant for a (fractional) power of ν is the same as being a G-invariant function, so this is the same as G-invariant functions with positive $\mathbb{T}'$-weight. □

We call a $\mathfrak{D}_E$-module $\mathcal{M}$ **$\mathbb{T}$-regular** if the vector field ξ acts locally finitely.

Definition 2.12. *We let $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be category of $\mathbb{T}$-regular (G, χ)-equivariant $\mathfrak{D}_E$-modules with singular support on the relative precore.*

This is the natural category whose reductions lie in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for any different choice of GIT stability condition.

Proposition 2.13. *The functor $\mathfrak{r}$ sends modules in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ to sheaves in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. The functor $\mathfrak{r}_!$ sends sheaves in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ to modules in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

Proof. If a module M is in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ then obviously $\mathfrak{r}(M)$ is supported on $\mathfrak{M}^+$. Furthermore, M has a good filtration which is invariant under the action of ξ (since M must be generated by a ξ-stable finite dimensional subspace). This induces a lattice on $\mathfrak{r}(M)$ which is also ξ-invariant. Thus $\mathfrak{r}(M)$ is in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{M}$ is in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, then the classical limit $\mathcal{M}/h\mathcal{M}$ is killed by all functions which vanish on the scheme $\mathfrak{M}^+$, which includes all positive $\mathbb{S}$-weight G-invariant

functions. The same is true for ${}_k\mathcal{T}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_\chi} \mathcal{M}$, which lies in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ by [BLPW, 3.17]. Thus $\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}; {}_k\mathcal{T}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_\chi} \mathcal{M})$ is a ξ-locally finite module whose associated graded is killed by all positive weight G-invariant functions. By (3), the $\mathfrak{D}_E$-module $\mathfrak{r}_!(\mathcal{M})$ can be realized as the tensor product

$$K = \mathfrak{r}_!(\mathcal{M}) = Y_k \otimes_{A_{\chi+k\alpha}} \Gamma(\mathfrak{M}; {}_k\mathcal{T}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_\chi} \mathcal{M})$$

for $k \gg 0$. Both of the desired properties are preserved by tensor product with the bimodule $Y_\chi = \mathfrak{D}_E/\mathfrak{D}_E \cdot \mu_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ since it is ξ-locally finite for the adjoint action, and the left and right actions of G-invariant functions on its associated graded coincide. Thus, $\mathfrak{r}_!(\mathcal{M})$ is supported on the relative precore and is $\mathbb{T}$-regular. □

Let $D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and $D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ be the dg-subcategories of the bounded dg-category of all $\mathcal{D}_\chi$-modules and equivariant $\mathfrak{D}_E$-modules, respectively, generated by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. The same proof as Proposition 2.6 shows that:

Corollary 2.14. *The category $D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is the dg-quotient of $D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ by the dg-subcategory of complexes whose cohomology is supported on the unstable components of the relative precore.*

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the sum of one copy of each isomorphism class of simple objects in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, and let $\mathcal{Q} := \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})^{\mathrm{op}}$; throughout the paper, we'll only consider examples where

(∗) this dg-algebra is formal (i.e. quasi-isomorphic to its cohomology).

We have a quasi-equivalence[2] $D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \cong \mathcal{Q}$-dg-mod given by $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}}(\mathcal{L}, -)$. Under this quasi-equivalence, the simples of $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are sent to the indecomposable summands of $\mathcal{Q}$ and modules supported on the unstable locus are sent to those which are quasi-isomorphic to an iterated cone of summands of $\mathcal{Q}$ corresponding to unstable simples.

Let I be the 2-sided ideal in Q generated by every map factoring through a summand supported on the unstable locus and let $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{Q}/I$.

Proposition 2.15. *We have a quasi-equivalence $D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \cong \mathcal{R}$-dg-mod intertwining $\mathfrak{r}$ with the functor $\mathcal{R} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{Q}} -$. In particular, $\mathrm{Ext}_{D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}}(\mathfrak{r}(\mathcal{L}), \mathfrak{r}(\mathcal{L})) \cong \mathcal{R}$ as formal dg-algebras.*

Proof. The functor $\mathcal{R} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{Q}} -: \mathcal{Q}$-dg-mod $\to \mathcal{R}$-dg-mod is a dg-quotient functor. By Corollary 2.14, and the uniqueness of dg-quotients up to quasi-equivalence ([Dri04, 6.1]), the result follows. □

On any smooth variety over the complex numbers, there is an equivalence of categories between appropriate dg-categories of regular holonomic D-modules and constructible sheaves, typically called the **Riemann-Hilbert correspondence**.

Assume that every object in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a regular $\mathfrak{D}_E$-module; this will, for example, be the case when the supports of such modules are a finite collection of G-orbits.

[2]Quasi-equivalence is the correct notion of equivalence for dg-categories. A dg-functor F is a quasi-equivalence if it induces an equivalence on homotopy categories, and induces a quasi-isomorphism on morphism complexes, that is, it induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}^i(M, N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(F(M), F(N))$ for all M and N.

Proposition 2.16. *If χ is integral, the category $D_{p\mathcal{O}_g}$ is quasi-equivalent to the dg-category of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces on E/G with constructible cohomology and singular support on the relative precore.*

Thus, we can use the geometry of constructible sheaves in order to understand the modules on the relative precore, which in turn can lead to an understanding of category $\mathcal{O}_g$.

2.5. **Koszulity and mixed Hodge modules.** Given that we have assumed that the algebra $\mathcal{Q}$ is formal, there is a natural graded lift of $\mathcal{Q}$-dg-mod: the derived category of graded modules over $H^*(\mathcal{Q})$. One might naturally ask if this lift can be interpreted in a geometric way. At least in certain cases, this is indeed the case, using the formalism of mixed Hodge modules. The category of mixed Hodge modules on a quotient Artin stack such as E/G is considered by Achar in [Ach]. We will only use basic properties of this category, particularly those of [Sai90, 0.1-2]:

Proposition 2.17. *On any quasi-projective variety X, there is a graded abelian category* MHM *where each object is a $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ with additional structure*[3]*, whose morphisms are morphisms of $\mathfrak{D}$-modules preserving said additional structure. If X is smooth, then the structure sheaf $\mathfrak{S}_X$ lies naturally in* MHM *(with an obvious choice of additional structure). The derived category D^b(MHM) has a six-functor formalism defining f_*, f^*, $f_!$, $f^!$, $\otimes$ and $\mathcal{H}om$ in a way compatible with the forgetful functor* rat *passing to the underlying complex of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-modules.*

The most important consequence of this theorem for is that if we have two mixed Hodge modules L_1 and L_2, then

$$\bigoplus_m \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathsf{MHM}}(L_1, L_2(m)) \cong \bigoplus_m \mathbf{R}^i\Gamma(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{MHM}}(L_1, L_2(m)))$$
$$\cong \mathbf{R}^i\Gamma(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathfrak{D}_X\text{-mod}}(L_1, L_2)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathfrak{D}_X\text{-mod}}(L_1, L_2),$$

but the former group has the additional structure of being graded (we think of $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathsf{MHM}}(L_1, L_2(m))$ as being homogeneous elements of degree m).

For a given $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$, we will call a choice of object in MHM with $\mathcal{M}$ as underlying $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module a **Hodge structure** on $\mathcal{M}$. From now on, we will only consider examples where:

(✠) Every simple L_ν in $p\mathcal{O}_g$ can be endowed with a pure Hodge structure such that the induced Hodge structure on $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\mathsf{MHM}}(L_\nu, L_\mu)$ in the category MHM of mixed Hodge modules is pure, that is, $\mathrm{Ext}^m_{\mathsf{MHM}}(L_\nu, L_\mu(m)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^m_{\mathfrak{D}_E\text{-mod}}(L_\nu, L_\mu)$.

This may seem like a rather abstruse assumption, but we'll see below that it is quite geometrically natural in many situations. Importantly, the assumption (✠) implies the assumption $(*)$ discussed earlier, since if $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\mathsf{MHM}}(L_\nu, L_\mu)$ has a pure Hodge structure, the compatibility of the dg-structure with the Hodge structure shows that it is formal as a dg-algebra.

[3]This addition structure is a $\mathbb{Q}$-form K of the perverse sheaf $\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{M})$, compatible weight filtrations of $\mathcal{M}$ and K, and good filtration on $\mathcal{M}$.

We'll call an object L in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ **gradeable** if it can be endowed with a mixed Hodge structure. Note that this is equivalent to the Q-modules $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{D}_E\text{-mod}}(-, L)$ or $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathfrak{D}_E\text{-mod}}(L, -)$ being formal. Given any objects M and N, we have a universal extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(M,N)^* \otimes N \longrightarrow U(N,M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

corresponding to the canonical class in $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(M, \mathrm{Ext}^1(M,N)^* \otimes N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(M,N)^* \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1(M,N)$. This operation obviously preserves gradability, and every indecomposable projective in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ can be constructed by beginning with a simple L, and applying this operation inductively with other simples, until the result is projective. In particular, projective objects in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ are always gradeable.

We'll call an object $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ **gradeable** if $\mathfrak{r}_!\mathcal{M}$ is gradeable as defined above. Since $\mathfrak{r}_!$ preserves projectives, the projectives of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ are always gradeable. Thus, assuming (✠), we can give the projectives $\mathfrak{r}_!P_\alpha$ for the projectives of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ unique mixed Hodge structures with the head pure of degree 0, and thus a natural grading on the endomorphism ring

$$\mathcal{R}^! := \mathrm{End}(\oplus_\alpha P_\alpha) \cong \mathrm{End}(\oplus_\alpha \mathfrak{r}_! P_\alpha).$$

Similarly, we can define $\mathcal{Q}^!$ as the graded endomorphism ring of the sum of all projectives in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$.

Proposition 2.18. *If the assumption (✠) holds, the ring $\mathcal{R}^!$ is the quadratic dual (in the sense of* [MOS09]*) of the ring $\mathcal{R}$, and similarly $\mathcal{Q}^!$ the quadratic dual of $\mathcal{Q}$. That is, the induced graded lift of the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is naturally equivalent to the category of linear complexes of projectives over $\mathcal{R}^!$.*

Proof. The category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is the heart of the standard t structure on $D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}}$. Thus, we need only study the image of the standard t-structure under the functor $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(L, -)$. The subcategory $D^{\geq 0}$ is sent to the category of complexes of projectives where the ith term is generated in degrees $\geq -i$; similarly $D^{\leq 0}$ is sent to the category of such complexes generated in degree $\leq -i$. Thus, the intersection of these subcategories is precisely the linear complexes of projectives. The ring $\mathcal{R}^!$ is the endomorphism algebra of a projective generator in this subcategory, and thus is the quadratic dual. □

Thus, from [MOS09, Thm. 30], we conclude:

Theorem 2.19. *If the assumption (✠) holds, then the inclusion functor $D^b(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}) \to D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}}$ is a quasi-equivalence if and only if the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is Koszul. Similarly, the functor $D^b(p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}) \to D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}}$ is a quasi-equivalence if and only if the category $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is Koszul.*

As shown in [BLPW, 5.17], if the fixed points of $\mathbb{T}$ on $\mathfrak{M}$ are isolated, the inclusion $D^b(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}) \to D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}}$ is always an equivalence. Thus:

Corollary 2.20. *If the fixed points of $\mathbb{T}$ in $\mathfrak{M}$ are isolated and (✠) holds, the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ will be Koszul.*

Of course, this is a very serious geometric assumption, but it holds in many cases, as we will see.

2.6. **Constructing objects in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.** There's a general method for constructing objects in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in a way which is conducive to calculation; let γ be a rational lift of $\mathbb{T}'$. We'll only consider lifts where the space $(T^*E)_\gamma$ of elements with non-negative weight is Lagrangian. For such a lift, we let $E_\gamma \subset E$ be the sum of the non-negative weight spaces of γ acting on E; the conormal to E_γ is contained in, and thus is equal to $(T^*E)_\gamma$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ be the subalgebra with non-negative weights under γ in the adjoint representation (this is a parabolic subalgebra) and let G_γ be corresponding parabolic subgroup.

Let X_γ be the fiber product $G \times_{G_\gamma} E_\gamma$. This is a vector bundle over G/G_γ via the obvious projection map, and has a proper map $p_\gamma \colon X_\gamma \to E$ induced by sending (g, e) to $g \cdot e$.

The set of rational lifts is infinite, but the space X_γ is unchanged if we conjugate γ by an element of $\tilde{G}$. Thus, we lose no generality by only considering lifts in a fixed maximal torus $\tilde{T}$ of $\tilde{G}$. The space of rational lifts in $\tilde{T}$ will be an affine space over $\mathbb{Q}$. There will be finitely many affine hyperplanes in this space given by the vanishing sets of weights in E and $\mathfrak{g}$. The spaces E_γ and G_γ are constant on the faces of this arrangement. Thus, only finitely many different G_γ and E_γ will occur up to conjugacy.

Remark 2.21. *Note that attached to this data, we have a* **generalized Springer theory** *in the sense defined by Sauter* [Sau]*, for the quadruple $(G, \{G_\gamma\}, E, \{E_\gamma\})$ where γ ranges over conjugacy classes of generic rational lifts.*

Every interesting example we know of a generalized Springer theory is of this form or is obtained from it by the "Fourier transform" operation sending (G, P_i, V, F_i) to $(G, P_i, V^*, F_i^\perp)$:

- *the classical Springer theory of a group is obtained when $E = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ acts trivially. This is usually presented in the Fourier dual form, so $F_i = \mathfrak{b}^\perp$ instead of $F_i = \mathfrak{b}$.*
- *the quiver Springer theory is obtained by taking E to be the space of representations of a quiver in a fixed vector space, and G the group acting on such representations by change of basis, and $\mathbb{T}$ acting trivially. This definition recovers Lusztig's sheaves defining the canonical basis* [Lus91] *if we take a quiver without loops, and the generalization of defined by Bozec in* [Bozb] *if it possesses loops.*

Thus at the moment we believe this is the best scheme for viewing these examples.

As in [BLPW], to avoid confusion we will denote the structure sheaf of a scheme X by $\mathfrak{S}_X$ (rather that $\mathcal{O}_X$, as would be more standard). We will always be considering the structure sheaves of varieties as left D-modules on those varieties; in particular, pushforward will always refer to pushforward of D-modules, not of coherent sheaves.

Theorem 2.22. *The pushforward $L_\gamma = (p_\gamma)_* \mathfrak{S}_{X_\gamma}$ is a sum of shifts of simple modules in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. In fact, its singular support is a union of components on which $\lim_{t\to 0} \gamma(t) \cdot x$ exists.*

If there is a cocharacter $\varpi \colon \mathbb{C}^* \to G$ such that $(T^*E)_\gamma \subset (T^*E)_\varpi$ and $\langle \alpha, \varpi \rangle > 0$, then L_γ is supported on the unstable locus for α in T^*E.

When such a cocharacter ϖ exists, we call γ **unsteady**.

Proof. This pushforward is a sum of shifts of simple modules by the decomposition theorem, and these are all regular since $\mathfrak{S}_{X_\gamma}$ is regular. Thus, we need only show that

the singular support of $(p_\gamma)_* \mathfrak{S}_{X_\gamma}$ lies in the relative precore. If $a = 0, b = 1$, then as in [Lus91, §13], we can compute the singular support of $(p_\gamma)_* \mathfrak{S}_{X_\gamma}$ using the geometry of this situation. Let

$$Y = \{(x, \xi) \in p_\gamma^* T^* E \mid \langle T_x X_\gamma, \xi \rangle = 0\}.$$

That is, these are the pairs where $x \in X_\gamma$, and ξ is a cotangent vector at $p_\gamma(x)$ which is perpendicular to the image of the derivative $(Dp_\gamma)_x$. By [Ber, 9a & b], we have $SS((p_\gamma)_* \mathfrak{S}_{X_\gamma}) \subset p_\gamma(Y)$; thus, we need only show that for any point in $y \in Y$, there is a rational lift of $\mathbb{T}$ which attracts $p_\gamma(y)$ to a limit in E. Since the pushforward $(p_\gamma)_* \mathfrak{S}_{X_\gamma}$ is equivariant for the conical action on E, its singular support is unchanged by changing a and b.

Consider a point $x \in X_\gamma$. By definition, $p_\gamma(x)$ is attracted to a limit z by $g\gamma g^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$. Thus, the image of the derivative at $p_\gamma(x)$ includes the subspace $g \cdot E_\gamma = E_{g\gamma g^{-1}}$. If $(x, \xi) \in Y$, then in particular, ξ must kill $g \cdot E_\gamma = E_{g\gamma g^{-1}}$. Since the positive weight subspace of the dual space is the annihilator of the non-negative weight space of the primal, the covector ξ must be a sum of covectors of positive weight for $g\gamma g^{-1}$. In particular, $p_\gamma(y)$ has a limit under this lift, and we are done.

Note that the same argument shows that any point in $p_\gamma(Y)$ has a limit under a conjugate of ω; the Hilbert-Mumford criterion shows that this point is unstable in the sense of GIT. □

Unfortunately, not all simple objects in $p\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{g}$ are necessarily summands of such modules; the classical Springer theory of simple Lie algebras outside type A provides counterexamples. Furthermore, this technique only works for χ integral (though we could use more interesting G_γ-equivariant D-modules on E_ϑ to produce objects for other twists).

The subcategory of $D_{p\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{g}}$ generated by $(p_\gamma)_* \mathfrak{S}_{X_\gamma}$ can be understood by considering the dg-Ext algebra of the sum of these objects. Fix a set B of rational lifts γ. We let $L = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in B} (p_\gamma)_* \mathfrak{S}_{X_\gamma}$ be the sum of pushforwards over this collection, and let $X = \bigsqcup_\gamma X_\gamma$ be the union of the corresponding varieties.

The sheaf L plays the role of the Springer sheaf, the variety $X \times_E X$ the role of Steinberg variety, and $H_G^{BM}(X \times_E X)$ the (equivariant) Steinberg algebra for the Springer theory $(G, \{G_\gamma\}, E, \{E_\gamma\})$.

We should note that the pushforwards $(p_\gamma)_* \mathfrak{S}_{X_\gamma}$ have a natural lift to mixed Hodge modules, since the structure sheaf on a quasi-projective variety carries a canonical Hodge structure, and mixed Hodge modules have a natural pushforward.

Proposition 2.23. *We have a quasi-isomorphism of dg-algebras*

$$Q := \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(L, L) \cong H_G^{BM}(X \times_E X)$$

where the latter is endowed with convolution product and trivial differential; the induced Hodge structure on $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(L, L)$ *is pure.*

Proof. In general we have that $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(L, L)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the dg-algebra of Borel-Moore chains on $X \times_E X$ endowed with the convolution multiplication by Ginzburg and Chriss [CG97, 8.6.7]. We need only see that the latter is formal. The product $X_\gamma \times_E X_{\gamma'}$ has a G-equivariant map $X_\gamma \times_E X_{\gamma'} \to G/G_\gamma \times G/G_{\gamma'}$ with fibers

given by vector spaces $g_1 \cdot E_\gamma \cap g_2 \cdot E_{\gamma'}$. The variety $G/G_\gamma \times G/G_{\gamma'}$ has finitely many G-orbits which are all affine bundles over partial flag varieties, so $X \times_E X$ is a finite union of affine bundles over partial flag varieties. Since each of these pieces has a pure Hodge structure on its Borel-Moore homology, the Borel-Moore homology of $X \times_E X$ is pure as well and the higher A_∞-operations must vanish on any minimal model. Thus, we are done. □

In [Sau], the algebra Q is called the **Steinberg algebra**. For every rational lift γ, we have a diagonal embedding of $X_\gamma \hookrightarrow X \times_E X$. Thus, we can view the fundamental class $\Delta_*[X_\gamma]$ as an idempotent element of Q. Under the isomorphism to the Ext-algebra, this corresponds to the projection $L \to L_\gamma$.

Now assume that:

(†) we have chosen a set B of rational lifts such that each simple module in $p\mathcal{O}_g$ is a summand of a shift of L, and every simple with unstable support is a summand of L_γ for $\gamma \in B$ unsteady.

Note that, by Proposition 2.23, we have that

Corollary 2.24. *The condition* (†) *implies condition* (✠) *and thus also* (∗).

Proof. The object L has a canonical Hodge structure which is pure of weight 0, since it is a sum of pushforwards. Thus, it is a sum of simple mixed Hodge modules, each with a simple underlying $\mathfrak{D}_E$-module. Thus, every summand of L can be endowed with a pure Hodge structure, so every simple in $p\mathcal{O}_g$ is gradeable. In order to establish that $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(L_\mu, L_\nu)$ has pure mixed Hodge structure, it's enough to check this for $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(L, L)$ since it contains $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(L_\mu, L_\nu)$ as a summand. This is a conclusion of Proposition 2.23. □

Let $I \subset Q$ be the ideal generated by the classes $\Delta_*[X_\gamma]$ for γ unsteady, and let $R = Q/I$. Note that if (†) holds, then the algebras Q and $\mathfrak{Q}$ are Morita equivalent, since they are Ext-algebras of semi-simple objects in which the same simples appear. This further induces a Morita equivalence between R and $\mathfrak{R}$. Combining Corollary 2.14 and Proposition 2.23, we see that:

Theorem 2.25. *Subject to hypothesis* (†), *category* $D_{\mathcal{O}_g}$ *is quasi-equivalent to* R-dg-mod, *via the functor* $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(L, \mathcal{M})$.

In the remainder of the paper, we will consider particular examples, with the aim of confirming hypothesis (†) in these cases, and identifying the ring R, thus giving an algebraic description of $D_{\mathcal{O}_g}$.

3. Hypertoric varieties

If G is a torus, with $G \times \mathbb{T}$ acting on $\mathbb{C}^n$ diagonally, then we can describe much of the geometry of the situation using an associated hyperplane arrangement. Since the structure of the associated category $\mathcal{O}$ is set forth in great detail in [BLPW12, BLPW10], we'll just give a sketch of how to apply our techniques in this case as a warm-up to approaching quiver varieties. Let D be the full group of invertible diagonal matrices; we let $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{d}_\mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding Lie algebras, and $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{d}_\mathbb{R}$ be the Lie algebras of the

maximal compact subgroups of these tori. Throughout this section, we'll take the $\mathbb{S}$-action associated to $a = 0, b = 1$. Choosing a GIT parameter $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the derivative $\xi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the $\mathbb{T}$-action, we obtain a **polarized hyperplane arrangement** in the sense of [BLPW10], by intersecting the coordinate hyperplanes of $\mathfrak{d}^*_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the affine space of functionals which restrict to η on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. For simplicity, we assume that this arrangement is unimodular, i.e. all subsets of normal vectors that span over $\mathbb{R}$ span the same lattice over $\mathbb{Z}$.

For each rational lift $\gamma\colon \mathbb{T} \to D$, the weights of γ form a vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. The space E_γ is the sum of coordinate lines where $a_i \geq 0$; we will encode this by replacing $\mathbf{a}$ with a corresponding sign vector $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ (where for our purposes, 0 becomes a plus sign). For each sign vector, we let

$$\mathfrak{g}_\sigma = \{(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} | b_i \geq 0 \text{ if } \sigma_i = +,\ b_i < 0 \text{ if } \sigma_i = -\}.$$

A coordinate subspace will appear as E_γ for some rational lift γ if and only if there's an element of $\xi + \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ which lies in $\mathfrak{g}_\sigma$, that is, if it is **feasible**. In this case, we denote $E_\sigma := E_\gamma$. The subspace E_σ will be unstable if there's an element ϖ of $\mathfrak{g}_\sigma$ with $\langle \eta, \varpi \rangle > 0$; that is, if $\mathfrak{g}_\sigma$ has no minimum for η and thus is **unbounded**.

The arrangement on $\xi + \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Gale dual of the one usually used to describe the torus action; as discussed in [BLPW10], the fundamental theorem of linear programming shows that feasibility and boundedness switch under Gale duality.

Thus, in the usual indexing, we find that:

Proposition 3.1. *The relative relative precore is a union of the conormal bundles to certain coordinate subspaces. A subspace lies in the relative relative precore if and only if its chamber is bounded, and contained in the unstable locus if and only if its chamber is infeasible.*

Since G is abelian, we have that $G_\gamma = G$ for every rational lift, and the pushforward L_γ is just the trivial local system on E_γ. As before, let $L = \bigoplus L_\gamma$, where the set B consists of one lift corresponding to each bounded sign vector.

Proposition 3.2. *If the character χ is integral, then hypothesis* (†) *holds for this set B. Every simple $\mathcal{D}_\chi$-module in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is of the form L_γ; if L_γ has unstable support, then γ is unsteady.*

Proof. Certainly, every simple object $\mathcal{M}$ in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the intermediate extension of a local system on a coordinate subspace minus its intersections with smaller coordinate subspaces. By applying partial Fourier transform, we can assume that this coordinate subspace is all of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

The monodromy of moving around a smaller coordinate subspace is given by integrating the vector field $x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ where x_i is the coordinate forgotten. If $x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f = af$, then the monodromy acts by multiplication by $e^{2\pi i a}$, as we can see from the case where $f = x_i^a$. We wish to prove that this monodromy is trivial, which is equivalent to $x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ acting with integral eigenvalues on $\mathcal{M}$.

The set of i for which $x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ acts with integral eigenvalues forms a subarrangement; we'll call these coordinates integral. In order to prove that all coordinates are integral, it suffices to prove this for a basis of $\mathfrak{d}/\mathfrak{g}$ by unimodularity.

On the other hand, if x_i is not an integral coordinate, then the vanishing cycles along $x_i = 0$ of $\mathcal{M}$ are non-trivial. Thus, we can use partial Fourier transforms,

switching x_i and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, and again obtain the intermediate extension of a local system on the complement of coordinate subspaces. Thus, between the corresponding chamber and any unbounded one, there must be at least one integral coordinate hyperplane. This will only be the case if $x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ for i integral span $\mathfrak{d}/\mathfrak{g}$. As noted before, unimodularity proves this is only possible if all coordinates are integral.

Thus, the the local system induced on any component of the pre-core has trivial monodromy around any isotropic coordinate subspace; thus, we have that every simple is a summand of L_γ for some γ. Since L_γ is just the pushforward of the functions on a linear subspace, it is already simple, so every simple is of this form. Note that if χ is not integral, we can have non-trivial local systems.

Thus, every simple in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is on the form L_γ. If this simple has unstable support, then every point in the subspace N^*E_γ must have a limit as $t \to 0$ under some cocharacter ϖ. The cocharacter ϖ thus unsteadies the rational lift γ. □

By Theorem 2.25, we can give a description description of $D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

Corollary 3.3. *The Steinberg algebra $Q = H_*^{BM,G}(X \times_E X)$ is the ring $A^!_{\mathrm{pol}}(-\xi, -)$ defined in* [BLPW12, §8.6]. *The quotient algebra $R = Q/I$ is isomorphic to $A^!(-\xi, -\eta)$.*

Proof. First, consider the constant sheaves of all coordinate subspaces in the equivariant derived category for D. We can identify this with the algebra denoted Q_n in [BLPW12, §3.4]; for two sign vectors that differ by single entry, the element $\alpha \to \beta$ is given by the pushforward or pullback maps on the constant sheaves on coordinate spaces. In this situation, one will be codimension 1 inside the other, and the composition of pullback and pushforward in either order is the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle. This is simply the usual polynomial generators of the cohomology of the classifying space of the full diagonal matrices, which we identify with the elements θ_i in the notation of [BLPW12].

Restricting to objects that lie in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, we only consider the vertices of the n-cube corresponding to the bounded sign vectors for the corresponding hyperplane arrangement; furthermore restricting the group acting from D to G has the effect of imposing linear relations on the θ_i's, exactly those in the kernel of $\mathfrak{d}^* \to \mathfrak{g}^*$. Thus, we obtain the ring $A^!_{\mathrm{pol}}(-\xi, -)$.

The classes $\Delta_*[X_\gamma]$ for γ unsteady are exactly the idempotents that generate the kernel of the map $A^!_{\mathrm{pol}}(-\xi, -) \to A^!(-\xi, -\eta)$. The result follows. □

Remark 3.4. *Braden, Licata, Proudfoot and the author have proven this result in a different way, by analyzing the category of projectives in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ over the section algebra of $\mathcal{D}$ twisted so that localization holds. We show that it is an algebra $A(\eta, \xi)$, which we had previously shown was Koszul dual to $A^!(-\xi, -\eta)$ in* [BLPW10]. *The result above gives a more direct geometric proof of this fact.*

A bit more care in non-unimodular and non-integral cases would also yield the general case of [BLPW12, 4.7], *but since this is reproving an old result, we leave the details to the reader.*

4. Quiver varieties: general structure

4.1. **Background.** Our primary application is the study of quiver varieties. Quiver varieties are perhaps the most interesting examples of symplectic singularities in the wild. Furthermore, since the work of Ringel and Lusztig in the late 80's and early 90's, it has been quite clear that they have a powerful tie to Lie theory, in particular to its categorification. Fix an unoriented graph Γ without loops. Let $\mathfrak{G}$ denote the Kac-Moody algebra associated to Γ, that is, the Kac-Moody algebra with Cartan matrix $C = 2I - A$, with A the adjacency matrix of Γ. As usual, we let $\alpha_i, \alpha_i^\vee$ denote the simple roots and coroots of this algebra.

Definition 4.1. *For each orientation Ω of Γ (thought of as a subset of the edges of the oriented double), a* **representation of (Γ, Ω) with shadows** *is*

- *a pair of finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces V and W, graded by the vertices of Γ, and*
- *a map $x_e : V_{t(e)} \to V_{h(e)}$ for each oriented edge (as usual, t and h denote the head and tail of an oriented edge), and*
- *a map $q : V \to W$ that preserves grading.*

We let $\mathbf{w}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ denote Γ-tuples of integers.

For now, we fix an orientation Ω, though we will sometimes wish to consider the collection of all orientations. With this choice, we have the **universal $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v})$-dimensional representation**

$$E_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{w}} = \bigoplus_{i \to j} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{v_i}, \mathbb{C}^{v_j}) \oplus \bigoplus_i \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{v_i}, \mathbb{C}^{w_i}).$$

In moduli terms, this is the moduli space of actions of the quiver (in the sense above) on the vector spaces $V = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}^{v_i}, W = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}^{w_i}$, with their chosen bases considered as additional structure. Let $\epsilon_{i,j}$ be the number of arrows with $t(e) = i$ and $h(e) = j$.

This can be thought of in terms of usual quiver representations by adding a new vertex ∞ with w_i edges from i to ∞, forming the **Crawley-Boevey quiver**. See [Webd, §3.1] for a longer discussion.

If we wish to consider the moduli space of representations where V has fixed graded dimension (rather than of actions on a fixed vector space), we should quotient by the group of isomorphisms of quiver representations; that is, by the product $G_{\mathbf{v}} = \prod_i \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C}^{v_i})$ acting by pre- and post-composition. The result is the **moduli stack of v-dimensional representations shadowed by $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{w}}$**, which we can define as the stack quotient

$$X_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{w}} = E_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{w}} / G_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

As before, this is a smooth Artin stack, which we can understand using the simplicial Borel space construction.

We'll wish to "double" this construction and consider $T^* E_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{w}}$; we can think of this as a space of representations of the doubled quiver of Γ, with maps $\bar{q}\colon W \to V$ and $\bar{x}_e = x_{\bar{e}}\colon V_{h(e)} \to V_{t(e)}$.

By convention, if $w_i = \alpha_i^\vee(\lambda)$ and $\mu = \lambda - \sum v_i \alpha_i$, then $X_\mu^\lambda = X_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{w}}, E_\mu^\lambda = E_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{w}}$ (if the difference is not in the positive cone of the root lattice, then these spaces are by

definition empty), and $X^\lambda = \dot\sqcup_\xi X^\lambda_\xi$. Let

$$\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu = T^*E^\lambda_\mu /\!\!/_{\det} G_\mu = \mu^{-1}(0)^s/G_\mu \qquad \mathfrak{N}^\lambda_\mu = \text{image}(\pi\colon \mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu \to T^*E^\lambda_\mu /\!\!/_0 G_\mu)$$

be the Nakajima quiver varieties attached to λ and μ. If μ is dominant, then $\mathfrak{N}^\lambda_\mu = T^*E^\lambda_\mu /\!\!/_0 G_\mu$. Note that in order to apply our construction to these varieties when they contain loops or oriented cycles, we must use an $\mathbb{S}$-action with $a, b > 0$, since one with $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ will have invariant polynomials on the fixed points of $\mathbb{S}$. If there are no loops or oriented cycles, it suffices to assume that $a + b > 0$.

See [Nak94, Nak98] for a more detailed discussion of the geometry of these varieties. We are interested in categories of modules over quantizations of these varieties, and specifically, the categories $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$. In [Weba, Th. A], we proved that for integral parameters, the categories $\mathcal{D}_\chi$ -mod for different dimension vectors. carry a categorical $\mathfrak{G}$-action. This action preserves the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$, since it acts by tensor product with Harish-Chandra bimodules as discussed in [Weba, 3.3], which preserve category $\mathcal{O}$ by [BPW, 2.17 & 3.14].

The Grothendieck group $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}})$ for any conical symplectic variety carries a 2-sided cell filtration induced by the decomposition of $\mathfrak{N}$ into symplectic strata; following the notation of [BLPW, §7], we let $\mathcal{O}^S_{\mathrm{g}}$ be the subcategory in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of objects supported on $\pi^{-1}(\bar S)$, and $\mathcal{O}^{\partial S}_{\mathrm{g}}$ the subcategory of objects supported on $\pi^{-1}(\partial S)$. We call a stratum S **special** if $\mathcal{O}^S_{\mathrm{g}} \neq \mathcal{O}^{\partial S}_{\mathrm{g}}$.

In the case of quiver varieties, all the varieties $\mathfrak{N}^\lambda_\mu$ are embedded simultaneously into the affine variety $\mathfrak{N}^\lambda_\infty$ of semi-simple representations of the preprojective algebra up to stabilization. Thus, we can think about these filtrations simultaneously on all the category $\mathcal{O}$'s of $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu$ for all μ. The most important example of strata are the subvarieties of the form $\mathfrak{N}^\lambda_{\mu'}$ for various μ', but other subvarieties can occur if Γ is not of finite type.

Lemma 4.2. *The 2-sided cell filtration on $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}})$ is invariant under the induced action of $\mathfrak{G}$.*

Proof. Since the categorical action is given by convolution with Harish-Chandra sheaves by the construction of [Weba], this follows immediately from the same argument as [BLPW, 7.10]. □

For any representation U of $\mathfrak{G}$, we let the **isotypic filtration** be the filtration indexed by the poset of dominant weights where U_μ is the sum of the isotypic components for $\mu' \geq \mu$ in the usual partial order on dominant weights.

By definition, every vector of weight μ' (and thus every highest weight vector) in $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}})$ is a sum of classes of objects supported on $\mathfrak{N}^\lambda_{\mu'}$, and thus lies in $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathfrak{N}^\lambda_\mu})$ for $\mu \leq \mu'$; that is, the isotypic filtration is "smaller" than the 2-sided cell filtration. On the other hand, as discussed in [BLPW, §6], we can also compare this filtration with the BBD filtration on $H^{BM}_*(\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu)$, which is of necessity "bigger."

4.2. **Weighted KLR algebras.** As before, let $G = G_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $H = \mathrm{Aut}_{G_{\mathbf{v}}}(E^{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{v}})$.

Proposition 4.3. *The group H is the product $\prod_{i,j\in\Gamma_{\mathbf{w}}} GL(\mathbb{C}^{\epsilon_{i,j}})$ over ordered pairs of vertices in the Crawley-Boevey graph $\Gamma_{\mathbf{w}}$, where $\epsilon_{i,j}$ is the number of arrows directed from i to j. This acts by replacing the maps along edges with linear combinations of the maps along parallel edges; in particular, the contribution of the pair (i,∞) is $GL(\mathbb{C}^{w_i})$.*

Proof. The group H is a product of general linear groups of the multiplicity spaces of G acting on E. The spaces $\mathbb{C}^{\epsilon_{i,j}}$ are precisely the multiplicity space of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{v_i},\mathbb{C}^{v_j})$ in $E^{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{v}}$. □

If Γ is a tree, then H/Z is just $PG_{\mathbf{w}} = \prod GL(\mathbb{C}^{w_i})/\mathbb{C}^*$ where the $\mathbb{C}^*$ represents the diagonal embedding of scalar matrices. If Γ is a cycle, then H/Z is a quotient of $PG_{\mathbf{w}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ by a central cyclic group with n elements; the last factor of $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts with weight 1 on one edge of the cycle.

In what follows, we'll pick a rational cocharacter $\vartheta\colon \mathbb{T} \to H$, which we fix. By replacing ϑ by a conjugate, we can assume that ϑ acts diagonally on $\mathbb{C}^{\epsilon_{i,j}}$, i.e. that it acts by scaling the map along the edge e by weight ϑ_e.

Having fixed ϑ, its different rational lifts $\mathbb{T} \to G \times_Z H$ are all obtained by taking the pointwise product $\xi \cdot \vartheta$ of this cocharacter with a rational cocharacter $\xi\colon \mathbb{T} \to G$. Assuming that this cocharacter is generic, we can record its conjugacy class as a **loading** in the sense of [Webd]; that is, a map $\mathbf{i}\colon \mathbb{Z} \to \Gamma \cup \{0\}$ which sends any integer which appears as *minus* the weight of ξ to the vertex on which it appears, and all others to 0. Any loading which appears this way must have $\#\mathbf{i}^{-1}(i) = v_i$, i.e. exactly v_i non-trivial elements are sent to $i \in \Gamma$. In this case, we write $|\mathbf{i}| = \mathbf{v}$.

If we take ξ to be a rational cocharacter, we take a map $\mathbf{i}\colon \mathbb{Q} \to \Gamma \cup \{0\}$. Actually, we could use a map $\mathbf{i}\colon \mathbb{R} \to \Gamma \cup \{0\}$ as in [Webd]; this does not correspond to a $\mathbb{C}^*$ action, but it does have a corresponding vector field on $T^*E^{\lambda}_{\mu}$, and one can make sense of $\lim_{t\to 0}$ using this vector field or an associated Morse function. This is not really necessary for our purposes, though.

The varieties $X_{\mathbf{i}}$ for different lifts of a fixed cocharacter $\vartheta\colon \mathbb{T} \to H/Z$ have already appeared; they are precisely the **loaded flag spaces** discussed in [Webd, §4.1].

Definition 4.4. *We let an $\mathbf{i}$-loaded flag on V be a flag of Γ-homogeneous subspaces $F_a \subset V$ for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $F_b \subset F_a$ for $b \leq a$, and $\dim F_a = \sum_{b\leq a} \mathbf{i}(b)$. Even though this filtration is indexed by rational numbers, only finitely many different spaces appear; the dimension vector can only change at points in the support of the loading, by adding the simple root labeling that point to the dimension vector. Let $\mathrm{Fl}_{\mathbf{i}}$ denote the space of $\mathbf{i}$-loaded flags.*

Proposition 4.5. *For $\mathbf{i}$ a loading with $|\mathbf{i}| = \mathbf{v}$ with corresponding cocharacter $\xi \cdot \vartheta$, we have an isomorphism of the variety $X_{\xi\cdot\vartheta}$ defined above with the loaded flag space*

$$X_{\mathbf{i}} \cong \{(f, F_\bullet) \in E_v \times \mathrm{Fl}_{\mathbf{i}} \,|\, f_e(F_a) \subset F_{a+\vartheta_e}\}.$$

Proof. In fact, the choice of $\xi\colon \mathbb{T} \to G$ induces a grading on V by *minus* the eigenvalues. The weight of $\mathbb{T}$ acting on the matrix coefficient mapping homogeneous elements of weight g to one of weight h along the edge e is $\vartheta_e - h + g$. We will thus have a limit if $\vartheta \geq h - g$. That is, a representation will have a limit if and only if the map f_e is a sum of homogeneous maps of degree $\leq \vartheta_e$. This is exactly the condition $f_e(F_a) \subset F_{a+\vartheta_e}$

where F_a is the sum of spaces of degree $\leq a$. Finally, the quotient by $G_{\xi,\vartheta}$ forgets the grading, only remembering the flag F_a. □

Let $B(\nu)$ be a set of loadings such that every component of the relative precore is associated to one of them; in the language of [Webd], there is a relation called **equivalence** on loadings, and we take one loading from each of equivalence classes. As discussed before, the sets E_γ and G_γ are constant on the chambers of an affine hyperplane arrangement on the set of lifts. Though there are infinitely many loadings, we only need finitely many to get the finite set of components of the relative precore. In fact, we could without loss of generality assume that these loadings are over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $p\colon X_{\mathbf{i}} \to E_\nu$ be the map forgetting the flags, and let

$$L_{\mathbf{i}} := (p_{\mathbf{i}})_* \mathfrak{S}_{X_{\mathbf{i}}}[\dim X_{\mathbf{i}}]. \tag{4}$$

We let

$$\mathbb{X} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}\in B(\nu)} X_{\mathbf{i}} \times_{E_\nu} X_{\mathbf{j}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad L_\nu = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i}\in B(\nu)} L_{\mathbf{i}}$$

In [Webd, §3.1], we also defined a diagrammatic algebra, the **reduced weighted KLR algebra**, which depends on a choice of 1-cocycle in $\mathbb{R}$ on Γ; of course, for any rational cocharacter $\vartheta : \mathbb{T} \to H$, we can think of it as a 1-cocycle, and consider its reduced wKLR algebra $\bar{W}^\vartheta$. Applying Proposition 2.23, we arrive at the conclusion that:

Theorem 4.6 ([Webd, 4.3])**.** $H_\bullet^{G,BM}(\mathbb{X}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(L_\nu, L_\nu) \cong \bar{W}_\nu^\vartheta$.

In [Webd, §2.6], we considered the quotient of $\bar{W}_\nu^\vartheta$ which corresponds to killing the sheaves L_ξ attached to unsteady cocharacters. For a GIT parameter ϖ, we denote this **steadied quotient** by $\bar{W}_\nu^\vartheta(\varpi)$. By Proposition 2.15, we have the immediate corollary:

Corollary 4.7. *If the hypothesis* (†) *holds, then the category $D_{\mathcal{O}_g}$ is quasi-equivalent to $\bar{W}_\nu^\vartheta(\varpi)$*-dg-mod *and $D_{p\mathcal{O}_g}$ to $\bar{W}_\nu^\vartheta$*-dg-mod

Note that Theorem A will follow from this corollary once we know that hypothesis (†) holds in the relevant cases.

4.3. **The case of $\mathbb{T}$ trivial.** Let us first consider the special case where $\mathbb{T}$ acts trivially and $\lambda = 0$ (i.e. $\mathbf{w} = 0$). We fix $\mathbf{v}$ and use the notation $E := E^0_{\mathbf{v}}$. If we orient Γ so that there are no oriented cycles, then the relative precore is the Lagrangian subvariety Λ considered by Lusztig[4] [Lus91]; this is the intersection of $\mu^{-1}(0)$ with the nilcone of the group $G = G_{\mathbf{v}}$ acting on T^*E. In this case, the simple $\mathfrak{D}_E$-modules that appear as summands of L_ν are the images under the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence of the sheaves $\mathscr{P}_{\mathbf{v},\Omega}$ considered by Lusztig [Lus91] in his categorification of the upper half of the universal enveloping algebra. The corresponding algebra is the original KLR algebra of [KL09, Rou] by [VV11, 3.6].

One fact we will need to use in this paper is that:

[4] If Γ does have loops, we obtain the generalization of Λ defined by Bozec [Boza]; as discussed before, this action does not satisfy all our hypotheses.

Proposition 4.8. *When $\mathbb{T}$ acts trivially and χ is a character of G, every simple in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ on T^*E is a summand of L_γ for some lift γ.*

The category $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is the category of strongly G-equivariant D-modules on E whose singular support is contained in the subvariety Lusztig calls Λ; thus, this proposition shows that every such D-module lies in the set Lusztig denotes $\mathscr{P}_{\mathbf{v},\Omega}$ in [Lus91, §2.2]. This is closely allied with the hypothesis (†) discussed earlier, but with no assumptions about stability.

Proof of 4.8 in finite type. If Γ is finite type, then G acts on E with finitely many orbits. The automorphism group of any Γ-representation is an extension of a product of general linear groups extended by a unipotent subgroup. Thus every orbit is equivariantly homotopic to a point modulo a product of general linear groups, which is simply connected. Thus, any G-equivariant D-module on E is the intersection cohomology sheaf of an orbit with the trivial local system. Each one of these lies in $\mathscr{P}_{\mathbf{v},\Omega}$ by the pigeonhole principle, since this set has size equal to the Kostant partition function of $\sum v_i\alpha_i$ by [Lus91, 10.17(a)], and the same is true of the number of G-orbits on E by Gabriel's theorem. □

For more general types, this will be deduced from the following (unpublished) result of Baranovsky and Ginzburg [BG]:

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a conic symplectic resolution with quantization $\mathcal{D}$ and C the preimage of the cone point in $\mathfrak{M}$. Then the Grothendieck group of sheaves of modules over $\mathcal{D}$ with support in C injects into $H^{BM}_{top}(L;\mathbb{Z})$ under the characteristic cycle map.*

Proof of 4.8 in general type. Consider a highest weight λ and let $E^\lambda := E^\lambda_{\lambda-\sum v_i\alpha_i}$. Note that we have a projection map $p\colon E^\lambda \to E$ forgetting the component $\mathrm{Hom}(V_i, W_i)$. By Theorem 4.9, if we show that Lusztig's construction supplies simples with support in C whose characteristic classes span $H^{BM}_{top}(C;\mathbb{Z})$, then we will know that these are the only simples supported on C.

Given a union of components $D \subset \Lambda \subset T^*E$, we can construct a corresponding Lagrangian subvariety of T^*E^λ by taking the preimage of D and then its image under the correspondence $T^*E \leftarrow E^* \oplus E^\lambda \to T^*E^\lambda$. Then intersecting with the stable locus and projecting to $\mathfrak{M}$, we obtain a union of components (possibly empty) of $C \subset \mathfrak{M}$, which we denote $n(D)$. Each component of $C \subset \mathfrak{M}^\lambda$ obtained as $n(D)$ for some component $D \subset \Lambda$, since n is the left inverse of the map $\kappa \circ \iota$ defined by Saito [Sai02, 4.6.2]. There is a corresponding operation on $\mathfrak{D}_E$-modules $\mathcal{M}$, which is to consider $\mathfrak{r}(p^*\mathcal{M})$. One can see directly that $\mathrm{supp}(\mathfrak{r}(p^*\mathcal{M})) = n(SS(\mathcal{M}))$.

There is an order on the components of Λ such that for each component D, one can construct one of Lusztig's sheaves L_D which has multiplicity 1 along that component and trivial multiplicity along higher ones, by [KS97, 6.2.2(2)]. Thus, the classes of the sheaves $\mathfrak{r}(p^*L_D)$ with $n(D)$ ranging over the components of C generate $H^{BM}_{top}(C;\mathbb{Z})$. This shows that if $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ for E contains any objects which are not produced from Lusztig's construction, they are killed by performing $\mathfrak{r} \circ p^*$.

Now, let $\mathcal{M}$ be an arbitrary object in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ for E. We claim that there is some λ such that the pullback $p^*\mathcal{M}$ is not killed by the reduction functor $\mathfrak{r}$, since its support is not

in the unstable locus, i.e. $n(SS(\mathcal{M})) \neq \emptyset$. If, for example, we choose $w_i \geq v_i$, then for any $e \in T^*E$, there is always a point in $p^{-1}(e) \in E^* \oplus E^\lambda \subset T^*E^\lambda$ where the maps $q_i \colon V_i \to W_i$ are injective. Thus, there is no nontrivial subrepresentation killed by all q_i, since there is no nontrivial subspace killed by all q_i. Since $p^*\mathcal{M}$ is not killed by $\mathfrak{r}$, it's a summand of $p^*L_{\mathfrak{j}}$, the pullback of one of Lusztig's sheaves. Since

$$\mathrm{Hom}(L_{\mathfrak{j}}, L_{\mathfrak{j}}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(L_{\mathfrak{j}}, p_*p^*L_{\mathfrak{j}}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(p^*L_{\mathfrak{j}}, p^*L_{\mathfrak{j}}),$$

every summand of $p^*L_{\mathfrak{j}}$ is the pullback of a summand of $L_{\mathfrak{i}}$. Thus $\mathcal{M}$ is a summand of $L_{\mathfrak{j}}$ and we're done. □

4.4. **Twisting functors.** The category $\mathcal{O}$'s attached to different GIT parameters are related by functors, which we call **twisting functors**, introduced in [BPW, §6]. In that paper, we focused more on the induced functors on the algebraic categories $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ for different parameters, but these functors have a natural geometric interpretation.

For each quiver variety, we can identify the sets of GIT parameters with $\mathfrak{H}^*$, the dual Cartan of $\mathfrak{G}$. Nakajima's usual stability condition is identified with the dominant Weyl chamber, and each GIT wall corresponds to the vanishing set of a coroot of $\mathfrak{G}$, though not all coroots contribute. In fact, there are only finitely many GIT walls, so if $\mathfrak{G}$ is not finite type, necessarily almost all coroots do not contribute a wall. Let W be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{G}$. For any $w \in \mathsf{W}$, the image of the dominant Weyl chamber under w lies in a single GIT chamber, defining a map from W to the set of chambers, with image given by the chambers in the Tits cone. Choose $\eta \in \mathfrak{H}^*$, a strictly dominant integral weight.

Proposition 4.10 ([BPW, Cor. B.1])**.** *For any fixed character $\chi \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$ and any finite subset $\mathsf{W}_0 \subset \mathsf{W}$, there is an integer $n \gg 0$ such that localization holds for the character $\chi + nw \cdot \eta$ for every $w \in \mathsf{W}_0$ on the GIT quotient for $w \cdot \eta$.*

We can identify the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for different GIT parameters with category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ for different quantization parameters using localization functors; throughout this section, we'll implicitly identify $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for different parameters that differ by integral amounts, using tensor product with quantized line bundles (the **geometric twisting functors** of [BPW, §6]). We let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{v\eta}$ be the geometric category $\mathcal{O}$ of the GIT quotient $\mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_{v\eta}G_\mu$, and $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{Loc}_\chi^{v\eta}$ and $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_\chi^{v\eta}$ be the localization and $\mathbb{S}$-invariant sections functors on this GIT quotient at the character χ.

In this notation, identifying $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{v\eta}$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ for the parameter $\chi + nv \cdot \eta$ intertwines the twisting functors from [BPW, §6] (in [BL], these are called **wall-crossing functors**) with the functors given by

$$\mathcal{T}_\chi^{\eta_1,\eta_2} := \mathbb{L}\mathrm{Loc}_\chi^{\eta_1} \circ \mathbb{R}\Gamma_\chi^{\eta_2} \colon \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\eta_2} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\eta_1}$$

by [BPW, 6.29]. Note that these functors depend on χ; compositions of these functors that begin and end at a single η generate a very large group of autoequivalences of the derived category $D^b(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}})$. On the other hand, this dependence of χ is limited in an important way: the functors $\mathcal{T}_{\chi+n\eta}^{\eta',\eta}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\chi+n\eta'}^{\eta',\eta}$ stabilize for $n \gg 0$, since the categories $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are related by twisting functors $\Phi_{\chi+m\eta}^{\chi+n\eta}$ which are equivalences intertwining the

functors $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{Loc}^{\eta_1}_{\chi+n\eta}, \mathbb{R}\Gamma^{\eta_2}_{\chi+n\eta}$ for $m, n \gg 0$. We can also describe these functors in terms of reduction functors and their adjoints.

Proposition 4.11 ([BL, (4.10)])**.** *For $n \gg 0$, we have isomorphisms of functors $\mathcal{T}^{\eta',\eta}_{\chi+n\eta'} \cong \mathrm{r}^{\eta'} \circ \mathrm{r}^{\eta}_{*}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\eta',\eta}_{\chi+n\eta} \cong \mathrm{r}^{\eta'} \circ \mathrm{r}^{\eta}_{!}$.*

Only the second equality is proven in [BL], but the first is simply the adjoint of the second.

Let $\mathcal{T}_w$ be the functor given by $\mathcal{T}^{vw^{-1}\cdot\eta, v\cdot\eta}_{\chi+nv\cdot\eta}$ for $n \gg 0$, for all $v \in \mathsf{W}$.

Proposition 4.12. *The functors $\mathcal{T}_w$ define a strong action of the Artin braid group of $\mathfrak{g}$ on the categories $\mathcal{O}^{v\cdot\eta}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for $v \in \mathsf{W}$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from [BPW, 6.32]; the braid relations for the Artin braid group are relations in the Weyl-Deligne groupoid of the Coxeter arrangement. The important point here is that the path $v\eta \to vs_{i_1}\eta \to vs_{i_1}s_{i_2}\eta \to \cdots$ for any reduced expression $s_{i_m}\cdots s_{i_1}$ is minimal length (that is, it crosses the minimal number of hyperplanes to connect those two points); thus, the functor $\mathcal{T}_{s_{i_m}}\cdots\mathcal{T}_{s_{i_1}} = \mathcal{T}_w$ is independent of reduced expression. This establishes all of the Artin braid relations. Since the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_w\mathcal{T}_{w'} = \mathcal{T}_{ww'}$ for $\ell(w)+\ell(w') = \ell(ww')$ is associative (it induces a unique isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_w\mathcal{T}_{w'}\mathcal{T}_{w''} = \mathcal{T}_{ww'w''}$ when $\ell(w)+\ell(w')+\ell(w'') = \ell(ww'w'')$), this action is strong. □

By work of Maffei [Maf02, Th. 26]:

Proposition 4.13. *There is a $H \times \mathbb{S}$-equivariant isomorphism of symplectic varieties*

$$\phi\colon \mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_{\eta} G_v \to \mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_{w\eta} G_{wv}.$$

Proof. The only part we need to prove is $H \times \mathbb{S}$-equivariance. This is the same as proving the $H \times \mathbb{S}$-invariance of the correspondence $Z^{\lambda}_i(\mathbf{v})$ of [Maf02, Def. 26]. Expanding on Maffei's notation, we let

$$T^{\mathrm{out}}_i := \bigoplus_{e\colon i\to j} V_j \cong \oplus_j V_j \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\epsilon_{i,j}} \qquad T^{\mathrm{in}}_i := \bigoplus_{e\colon j\to i} V_j \cong \oplus_j V_j \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\epsilon_{j,i}} \qquad T_i := T^{\mathrm{out}}_i \oplus T^{\mathrm{in}}_i.$$

The group $H \times \mathbb{S}$ acts on the spaces $T^{\mathrm{out}}_i, T^{\mathrm{in}}_i$ in two slightly different ways: H always acts through its natural action on $\mathbb{C}^{\epsilon_{i,j}}$ on T^{out}_i and by the dual action on T^{in}_i; in the A-action, $\mathbb{S}$ acts with weight 1 on T^{out}_i and weight 0 on T^{in}_i and in the B-action by weights 0 and -1 respectively. Thus, the effect of this action on

$$a_i(s)\colon V_i \to T_i \qquad b_i(s)\colon T_i \to V_i$$

is simply the induced action on the mapping spaces (with V_i having trivial $H \times \mathbb{S}$-action) for the A-action and B-action respectively. The same is true of the maps

$$a_i(s')\colon V'_i \to T_i \qquad b_i(s')\colon T_i \to V'_i.$$

Now consider Maffei's conditions:

C1: This condition is just that maps along arrows not touching i are unchanged. This is obviously unchanged by the $H \times \mathbb{S}$-action.

C2: This is that $\ker b_i(s) = \text{image}\, a_i(s')$. The A-action and B-action differ by a scalar, so their induced actions on the subspaces of T_i coincide. Both this kernel and image transform according to the action of $H \times \mathbb{S}$ on T_i, so their equality is invariant.

C3: This states that $a_i(s')b_i(s') = a_i(s)b_i(s)$ (since we are only considering the 0 level of the moment map). Both of these maps transform according to pre-composition with the A-action and post-composition with the B-action on T_i, so their equality is preserved.

C4: This condition is just that the points both lie in the zero level of the moment map; this is $H \times \mathbb{S}$-invariant, since the moment map is $H \times \mathbb{S}$-equivariant with H acting trivially and $\mathbb{S}$ acting by scaling on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Thus the correspondence is invariant, and the induced isomorphism is equivariant. □

We let $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}})_\nu^\eta$ denote geometric category $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_\eta G_\nu$ and an integral choice of χ; if we omit η, it is assumed to be dominant, so the underlying variety is $\mathfrak{M}_\nu^\lambda$.

Proposition 4.14. *The isomorphism of varieties ϕ induces an equivalence of categories. $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}})_\nu^\eta \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}})_{w\nu}^{w\eta}$ for any fixed cocharacter $\mathbb{T} \to H$.*

Proof. We have Kirwan maps

$$\mathsf{K}_\nu \colon (\mathfrak{g}_\nu^{G_\nu})^* \to H^2(\mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_\eta G_\nu) \qquad \mathsf{K}_{w\nu} \colon (\mathfrak{g}_{w\nu}^{G_{w\nu}})^* \to H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{w\nu}^\lambda)$$

If $\alpha_i^\vee(\lambda - \nu)$ and $\alpha_i^\vee(\lambda - w \cdot \nu)$ are both positive, then G_ν and $G_{w\cdot\nu}$ are products of equal numbers of general linear groups and we have a canonical isomorphism $(\mathfrak{g}_\mu^{G_\mu})^* \cong (\mathfrak{g}_{w\mu}^{G_{w\mu}})^*$. In the degenerate cases where one of the vertices of the quiver gives 0 in the dimension vector, we add in a trivially acting $\mathbb{C}^*$ to fix this isomorphism (which is killed by the Kirwan map). Under Maffei's isomorphism, we have that $\phi^* \mathsf{K}_{w\cdot\nu}(\chi) \cong \mathsf{K}_\nu(w^{-1} \cdot \chi)$. This shows us how to compare quantizations on the two varieties by comparing their periods.

By [BPW, 3.14], the quantization $\mathcal{D}_{\chi - \rho_\nu/2}$ of $\mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_\eta G_\nu$ has period $\mathsf{K}_\nu(\chi)$ and the quantization $\mathcal{D}_{w\chi - \rho_{w\nu}/2}$ of $\mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_{w\eta} G_{w\nu}$ has period $\mathsf{K}_{w\nu}(w\chi)$. Here ρ_μ is the character of $\det(E_\mu)$; this indexing is chosen so that $\mathcal{D}^{\text{opp}}_{\chi - \rho_\mu/2} \cong \mathcal{D}_{-\chi - \rho_\mu/2}$.

Thus isomorphism ϕ identifies these two quantizations and thus category $\mathcal{O}$ over them by H-equivariance. □

Thus, in place of fixing a weight space and considering all GIT conditions, we can instead fix the dominant stability condition and vary the weight space.

Definition 4.15. *We let the functors*

$$\mathscr{T}_w \colon (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}})_\nu^\eta \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}})_\nu^{w^{-1}\eta} \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}})_{w\nu}^\eta$$

be the transport of the $\mathcal{T}_w$ via this isomorphism. These again define a strong action of the Artin braid group.

When η is chosen to be dominant, there is another such braid action on any category with a categorical $\mathfrak{G}$-action, that given by Rickard complexes Θ_i as defined by Chuang

and Rouquier [CR08, §6.1]; these were shown to satisfy the braid relations by Cautis and Kamnitzer [CK12, 6.3].These are compared in recent work of Bezrukavnikov and Losev [BL], building on work of Cautis, Dodd, and Kamnitzer [CDK].

Proposition 4.16 ([BL, 3.4])**.** *On the sum* $\bigoplus_\mu D^b(\bigoplus_{w\in \mathsf{W}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}})_{wv})$*, we have an isomorphism of functors* $\Theta_i \cong \mathscr{T}_{s_i}$*.*

5. Quiver varieties: special cases

5.1. **Tensor product actions.** A **tensor product action** of $\mathbb{T}$ on $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda$ is one induced by a cocharacter $\mathbb{T} \to PG_{\mathbf{w}}$. This is the same as assigning weakly decreasing weights $\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_\ell$ to the different new edges in the Crawley-Boevey quiver. By Assumption 2.1, we have $|\vartheta_i - \vartheta_j| > \max(|a|, |b|)$. These actions played an important role in Nakajima's definition of the "tensor product quiver variety" [Nak01]. The eigenspaces of this action on W decompose this space into a sum $W^1 \oplus \dots \oplus W^\ell$, which are again ordered by increasing eigenvalue. Let λ_i be a weight such that $\alpha_j^\vee(\lambda_i) = \dim W_j^i$.

In this case, the algebra $\bar{W}_v^\vartheta$ is isomorphic by [Webd, 3.5] to one which appeared earlier in the work of the author [Webb, §4.2]; this is the algebra $\tilde{T}_v^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$. The steadied quotient of this algebra corresponding to positive powers of the determinant characters is the **tensor product algebra** $T^{\underline{\lambda}}$ also defined in [Webb, §4.2]. This is an algebra whose representation category categorifies the tensor product $\mathsf{V}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathsf{V}_{\lambda_\ell}$ of the simple $\mathfrak{G}$-representations V_{λ_i} of highest weights λ_i in an appropriate sense.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume* $\mathbb{T}$ *is given by a tensor product action. Then hypothesis* (†) *holds in this case.*

Recall that $L_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the object in the derived category of D-modules defined in (4). We call a loading **i violating** if there exist $k \in \mathbb{R}$ with $k < \vartheta_j$ for all j with $\mathbf{i}(k) \neq 0$. When (as in [Webd, §3.2]) we think of a loading as encoding a horizontal slice in a Stendhal diagram (as defined in [Webb, 4.1]), the violating loadings correspond to slices with a black strand left of all reds, meaning that the corresponding diagram is violating (as defined in [Webb, 4.3]).

The summands of $L_{\mathbf{i}}$ with $\mathbf{i}$ violating are precisely the set of sheaves that Li denotes $\mathcal{N}_{V,D^\bullet}$ in [Li14, §8]. He shows that these coincide with the summands of $L_{\mathbf{i}}$ for $\mathbf{i}$ arbitrary which have unstable singular support, i.e. are killed by $\mathfrak{r}$, a set he denotes $\mathcal{M}_{V,D^\bullet}$.

Lemma 5.2 ([Li14, 8.2.1(4)])**.** *We have* $\mathcal{M}_{V,D^\bullet} = \mathcal{N}_{V,D^\bullet}$*, that is, a summand* L *of* $L_{\mathbf{i}}$ *for any arbitrary loading* $\mathbf{i}$ *is killed by* $\mathfrak{r}$ *if and only if it is a summand of* $L_{\mathbf{i}}$ *for* $\mathbf{i}$ *violating.*

Lemma 5.3. *For any tensor product action, any simple in* $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ *with non-zero reduction is a summand of* $L_{\mathbf{i}}$ *for some loading* $\mathbf{i}$*.*

Proof. By adding an appropriate multiple of η, we can assume that we have chosen the character χ so that localization holds on $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda$ for $\mathcal{D}_\chi$ by [BPW, Th. A]. Thus, we have a natural equivalence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$. Recall that A_χ is the $\mathbb{S}$-invariant section algebra of $\mathcal{D}_\chi$. Let A_χ^k be the weight spaces for the induced action of $\mathbb{T}$ on A_χ, and $A_\lambda^{\geq 0}$ the non-negative weight spaces. Every simple module in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is a quotient of a unique

standard module, which is of the form $A_\chi \otimes_{A_\lambda^{\geq 0}} M$ where M is a finite dimensional module over $\mathsf{C}(A_\chi) = A_\chi^0 / \sum_{k>0} A_\chi^{-k} A_\chi^k$, considered as a $A_\lambda^{\geq 0}$-module by pullback. Thus, the number m of simples in $\mathcal{O}_g$ is equal to the number of finite dimensional modules over $\mathsf{C}(A_\chi)$.

The fixed point subvariety of $\mathbb{T}$ is symplectomorphic to the product $\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{M}^{\lambda_\ell}$ of quiver varieties attached to the weights for the different eigenvalues of $\mathbb{T}$ acting on W. Adding another positive multiple of η to χ if necessary, we can also apply [Los, 5.2] to show that $\mathsf{C}(A_\chi)$ is the sections of a quantization of $\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{M}^{\lambda_\ell}$. Since the pullback of an ample line bundle to a subvariety is again ample, the result [Los, 5.7] shows that adding η to χ has the effect of adding an ample class to the period of the quantization of each component of $\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{M}^{\lambda_\ell}$. Thus, perhaps adding a positive multiple of η again, we can assume that localization holds for this quantization of $\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{M}^{\lambda_\ell}$. In this case, the finite dimensional modules over the sections are in bijection with core modules, i.e. the geometric category $\mathcal{O}$ for the trivial action. Thus, there are m simple core modules. Now, by Lemma 4.8, m is no more than the number of components of the core C, that is $m \leq \prod \dim \mathsf{V}_{\lambda_i}$. On the other hand, by work of Li [Li14, 8.2.1(5)], the summands of L which survive under $\mathfrak{r}$ are in canonical bijection with the canonical basis of $\mathsf{V}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathsf{V}_{\lambda_\ell}$. In particular, the number of them is also $\prod \dim \mathsf{V}_{\lambda_i}$. Thus, all simples in $\mathcal{O}_g$ must be of this form by the pigeonhole principle. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1. This is sufficiently similar to the proof of Proposition 4.8 that we only give a sketch. As before, we let $E^\lambda := E^{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{v}}$. We can add a weight λ' to λ, and pull back by the map $p\colon E^{\lambda+\lambda'} \to E^\lambda$ induced the projection $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_i^\vee(\lambda+\lambda')} \to \mathbb{C}^{\alpha_i^\vee(\lambda)}$. Assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathfrak{D}_{E^\lambda}$-module in $p\mathcal{O}_g$. If λ' is chosen so that $\alpha_i^\vee(\lambda') \geq v_i$, then the pullback $p^*\mathcal{M}$ has a stable point in its singular support, so $\mathfrak{r}(p^*\mathcal{M}) \neq 0$. Thus, we must have that $p^*\mathcal{M}$ is a summand of $L_{\mathbf{i}}$ for some $\mathbf{i}$. This, in turn, shows that our original sheaf was also of this type. □

Having established the hypothesis (†), we can give a description of the category $D_{\mathcal{O}_g}$ as R-dg-mod, where R is as defined in Section 2.6. Corollary 4.7 and [Webd, 3.6] will now establish Case 1 of Theorem A:

Corollary 5.4. *The category $D_{\mathcal{O}_g}$ for a tensor product action is quasi-equivalent to $T^{\underline{\lambda}}$-dg-mod, and $D_{p\mathcal{O}_g}$ to $\tilde{T}^{\underline{\lambda}}$-dg-mod.*

Furthermore (✠) holds in this case as well by Corollary 2.24. Thus, if $\mathbb{T}$ has isolated fixed points, then the algebra $T^{\underline{\lambda}}$ must be Koszul.

Theorem 5.5. *If all the weights $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ are minuscule, then the algebra $T^{\underline{\lambda}}$ is Koszul.*

Proof. As noted in Nakajima [Nak01], the fixed points of $\mathbb{T}$ acting on the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}$ are the product of the quiver varieties attached to the weights λ_i. If all λ_i are minuscule, then these quiver varieties are all finite sets of points, so $\mathbb{T}$ has isolated fixed points. Thus Theorem 2.19 implies the Koszulity of these algebras. □

At least in finite type, we can also easily understand the cell filtration in terms of the isotypic and BBD filtrations introduced in Section 4.1.

Proposition 5.6. *If Γ is an ADE Dynkin diagram, then the 2-sided cell, isotypic and BBD filtrations all coincide.*

Proof. Since the 2-sided cell filtration is sandwiched between the isotypic and BBD filtrations, if these two coincide, the 2-sided cell filtration must match them. By [Nak98, Rmk. 3.28], the isotypic and BBD filtrations coincide, so this is indeed the case. □

We can generalize Corollary 5.4 a bit to include interactions between different category $\mathcal{O}$'s for the different tensor product actions.

The set of cocharacters $\vartheta\colon \mathbb{T} \to T_W$ carries an S_n action which preserves the weight spaces of $\mathbb{T}$ and the set of weights which occur, while permuting the order of the weight spaces. There are functors relating the category $\mathcal{O}$'s for different $\mathbb{T}$ actions in the most obvious way possible: we have a the obvious inclusion $i^\vartheta\colon D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^\vartheta} \to D^b(\mathcal{D}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{hol}})$ into the derived category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules with Lagrangian support and its left and right adjoints $i^\vartheta_!, i^\vartheta_*$ defined in [BLPW, 8.7]. For two different $\mathbb{T}$-actions, we can always take the **shuffling functor** $\mathscr{S}^{\vartheta,\vartheta'} := i^{\vartheta'}_* \circ i^\vartheta\colon D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^\vartheta} \to D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\vartheta'}}$.

Similarly, we have an inclusion functor $I^\vartheta\colon D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}} \to \mathfrak{D}_E$-mod defined before reduction.

Theorem 5.7. *The shuffling functors between $D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\sigma\vartheta}}$ for all permutations σ and a fixed tensor product action ϑ give a weak action of the action groupoid for the ℓ-strand braid group on total orders of an ℓ element set. This is intertwined by the equivalences with the action of this group on dg-modules over $T^{\sigma\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$ defined in* [Webb, 6.18].

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}\colon D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}} \to T^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$-dg-mod and $p\mathcal{G}\colon D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}} \to \tilde{T}^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$-dg-mod be the equivalences of Corollary 5.4. Note that by definition,

$$\mathcal{G} \circ \mathfrak{r}(M) \cong T^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\tilde{T}^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}} p\mathcal{G}(M) \qquad p\mathcal{G} \circ \mathfrak{r}_!(M) \cong \mathcal{G}(M) \tag{5}$$

where in the second equality, $\mathcal{G}(M)$ is inflated to a $\tilde{T}^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$-module by the surjection $\tilde{T}^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} \to T^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$.

Consider the bimodule $\mathrm{Hom}(I^{\sigma\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(L'), I^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(L))$ where L, L' are the sum of all $L_{\mathbf{i}}$'s with $|\mathbf{i}| = \lambda - \mu$ defined using the $\mathbb{T}$ actions corresponding to $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ and $\sigma\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ respectively. By [Webd, 4.12], this bimodule is the bimodule $B_\nu^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\sigma\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$ as defined in [Webd, §2.5]; for a tensor product action, this is exactly the bimodule $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_\sigma$ defined in [Webb, 6.3] by [Webd, 3.6]. Since L, L' are generators of the dg-categories $D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}}, D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\sigma\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}}$, we have functorial isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(I^{\sigma\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}M, I^{\sigma\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} \circ p\mathcal{G}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_\sigma \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\tilde{T}^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}} N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet(I^{\sigma\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}M, I^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} \circ p\mathcal{G}^{-1}(N)) \tag{6}$$

for N in $\tilde{T}^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$-dg-mod and $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\sigma\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$.

Now, we consider the case where $M = \mathfrak{r}_!\mathcal{M}$ and N is the inflation of an module $\mathcal{N}$ in $T^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$-dg-mod. In this case $p\mathcal{G}^{-1}(N) \cong \mathfrak{r}_!\mathcal{G}^{-1}(N)$ by (5). Thus, the RHS of (6) can be

rewritten

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ext}^\bullet(I^{\sigma\underline{\lambda}}\mathfrak{r}_!\mathcal{M}, I^{\underline{\lambda}}(\mathfrak{r}_!\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{N})) &= \operatorname{Ext}^\bullet(\mathfrak{r}_!i^{\sigma\underline{\lambda}}\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{r}_!i^{\underline{\lambda}}(\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{N}))\\ &= \operatorname{Ext}^\bullet(i^{\sigma\underline{\lambda}}\mathcal{M}, i^{\underline{\lambda}}(\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{N}))\\ &= \operatorname{Ext}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}, \mathscr{S}^\sigma(\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{N}))\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the LHS of (6) can be rewritten as

$$\operatorname{Ext}^\bullet(\mathfrak{r}_!i^{\sigma\underline{\lambda}}\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{r}_!i^{\sigma\underline{\lambda}} \circ \mathcal{G}^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_\sigma \overset{L}{\otimes}_{T^{\underline{\lambda}}} \mathcal{N})) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_\sigma \overset{L}{\otimes}_{T^{\underline{\lambda}}} \mathcal{N}) \tag{7}$$

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is arbitrary, this shows the isomorphism of functors $\mathfrak{B}_\sigma \overset{L}{\otimes}_{T^{\underline{\lambda}}} \mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{G} \circ \mathscr{S}^\sigma$ as desired. The functors $\mathfrak{B}_\sigma \overset{L}{\otimes}_{T^{\underline{\lambda}}} -$ satisfy the relations of the braid groupoid by [Webb, 6.18], so the same is true of $\mathscr{S}^\sigma$. □

5.2. **Affine type A.** Of course, the case of a non-tensor product action is more complicated; there are of necessity more simple modules. The first interesting such case is when $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$. In this case, we'll identify the nodes of Γ with the residues in $\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ with arrows from i to $i+1$ for every i. We'll want to include the case where $e = 1$, that is, the Jordan quiver. Since this orientation contains an oriented cycle, we will take $a = b = 1$, so $\mathbb{S}$ is just the scaling action on $T^*E^\lambda_\mu$.

In this case, we can think of the space $V \cong \oplus_i V_i$ as a single $\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$-graded space. For each i, we have a map $x_{i,i+1}\colon V_i \to V_{i+1}$. We can view the sum $x = \sum x_{i,i+1}$ of the maps along the edges as a single map $V \to V$. This is homogeneous of degree 1 in the $\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$-grading; we use this convention throughout the section. With a choice of highest weight λ of level ℓ (i.e. with $\sum_i \alpha_i^\vee(\lambda) = \ell$), we obtain a Crawley-Boevey quiver with ℓ new edges. We enumerate these edges $e_1, \dots, e_\ell$, and let r_i be the vertex, thought of as a residue in $\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$, attached to the edge e_i. We let $q_{(i)}$ be the map $V \to \mathbb{C}$ given by the row of the matrix of q corresponding to the edge e_i.

As we indicated before, in this case H/Z is a quotient of $PG_{\mathbf{w}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ by a finite central subgroup. Thus, a rational cocharacter into H/Z is essentially the same as choosing rational numbers ϑ_i given by the weights of the new edges (though these are only uniquely specified up to simultaneous translation), as well as the rational number κ giving the weight of the projection to the last factor; if $\kappa = 0$, then we have a tensor product action, and can use the results of the previous section.

We assume for the sake of simplicity that the weights ϑ_i are all distinct modulo κ. This is sufficient for the associated action to have isolated fixed points on $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\nu$ for all ν and for every component of the pre-core to come from a lift with no positive dimensional fixed subspaces. In fact, we could strengthen this a bit to a necessary and sufficient condition for isolated fixed points for all μ: that $\vartheta_i - \vartheta_j - \kappa(r_i - r_j)$ is not divisible by $e\kappa$.

We wish to understand the structure of the relative precore. As discussed previously, this is the unstable locus associated to the action of $\tilde{G}$ with the character ν. Thus, we consider the Kirwan-Ness stratification of the relative precore. We should note, this stratification depends on a norm of the group $\tilde{G} \cong G_{\mathbf{v}} \times \mathbb{T}'$. This is the same

as fixing a norm invariant under the Weyl group $\prod S_{v_i}$ on the rational Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of a maximal torus $\tilde{T}$.

Fixing ϑ_i gives a choice of lift $\vartheta\colon \mathbb{T}' \to \tilde{G}$; we let $\tilde{T}$ be the subgroup generated by $\mathbb{T}'$ and the diagonal matrices on $\mathbb{C}^{v_i}$. We have a basis of $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ given by the derivative of $\dot{\vartheta}_i$ and the derivatives $\dot{\epsilon}^\vee_{i,j}$ of the coordinate cocharacters $\epsilon^\vee_{i,j}$ which act with weight 1 on the jth coordinate of $\mathbb{C}^{v_i}$ and with weight 0 on all others. This induces coordinates for rational (or real, etc.) cocharacters given by $\gamma = (\nu(\gamma), \{\gamma_{i,j}\})$. We define a series of norms (for $u \in \mathbb{Z}$) given by

$$|\gamma|_u^2 = \nu(\gamma)^2 + \sum_{i,j} (\gamma_{i,j} - u\nu(\gamma))^2. \tag{8}$$

This means that if $u \gg 0$, then actions with eigenvalues as large as possible are "preferred" (i.e. have smaller norm), whereas if $u \ll 0$, then there is a symmetric preference for negative eigenvalues.

Let us translate this general framework into the language of quivers. We consider rational cocharacters γ with $\nu(\gamma) = 1$. The difference $\gamma - \vartheta_i$ is a rational cocharacter of $G_{\mathbf{v}}$, so we can think of the decomposition of V into eigenspaces for this action as a grading by $\mathbb{Q}$ by *minus* the eigenvalues. We can extend this grading to W using the action of H on this space; this grading on W is fixed, and the degrees of basis vectors are given by $-\vartheta_i$.

Lemma 5.8. *This lift is destabilizing for a point of T^*E, thought of as a representation of the doubled quiver, if and only if the maps $q, \bar{q}$ are a sum of homogeneous maps of degree ≤ -1 and $x, \bar{x}$ are a sum of homogeneous maps of degree $\leq -1 \pm \kappa$.*

Note, it is immediate that either x must be nilpotent if $\kappa < 0$ and $\bar{x}$ must be nilpotent if $\kappa > 0$. Assume for simplicity that $\kappa < 0$.

Choose an integer u, a multipartition $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\ell)$ and a multisegment $\mathbf{m}$. Recall that a segment is a pair (r, n) of a residue $r \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ and a positive integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$; we think of this as the segment $r, r+1, \dots, r+n$, considered modulo e (hence the need to include its length instead of its endpoint), and a **multisegment** is a multiset of segments.

For a multipartition, we have a diagram consisting of the triples $\{(i, j, k) | 1 \leq j \leq (\xi_k)_i\}$; if we think of each such triple as a box, these will give the Young diagrams of each individual ξ_k. Each such box has an attached statistic: its **content** modulo e, which is just the residue class of $r_k + j - i$ in $\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$.

For a multisegment, we similarly have a diagram consisting of a row of boxes of length n for each segment (r, n). Unlike with a Young diagram, we do not think of these rows as being stacked on top of each other, or in any particular order. These boxes have contents as well, given by the residue classes $r, r+1, \dots$ as we read along the row.

Definition 5.9. *Consider the conjugacy class of cocharacters $\gamma_{\boldsymbol{\xi},\mathbf{m}}$ where the eigenvalues that appear are*

- *$\vartheta_k + \kappa(i-j) + i + j - 1$ for (i, j, k) in the diagram of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ over the vertex for the residue $r_k + j - i$ and*

- *the eigenvalue* $u + (1+\kappa)(g-h)/2, u + (1+\kappa)(g-h+2)/2, \ldots, u + (1+\kappa)(h-g)/2$ *over the vertices for* $g, g+1, \ldots, h$ *for each segment* $[g,h]$.

Proposition 5.10. *For* $u \gg 0$*, the Lagrangian Kirwan-Ness strata are indexed by the conjugacy classes* $\gamma_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}$*, and each of these strata has irreducible closure.*

Proof. First, we note that each of these cocharacters has a non-empty Kirwan-Ness stratum: associated to each $\boldsymbol{\xi}$, $\mathbf{m}$ we have a representation of the preprojective algebra where the basis v_b is indexed by boxes b of the diagrams of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and of $\mathbf{m}$ such that

- x acts by sending each box in the diagram of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ to the next in its row $x \cdot v_{(i,j,k)} = v_{(i,j-1,k)}$, and each box in a row of the multisegment $\mathbf{m}$ to the next in the row $x \cdot v_k = v_{k-1}$.
- $\bar{x}$ acts by sending each box to the next in its column $\bar{x} \cdot v_{(i,j,k)} = v_{(i-1,j,k)}$ and each box in a row of the multisegment $\mathbf{m}$ to 0, and
- q acts by sending $v_{(1,1,k)}$ to the corresponding basis vector in W and killing all other basis vectors.

Note that in any compatible grading, we have that the basis vector for $(1,1,k)$ is a sum of vectors of weight $\geq \vartheta_k + 1$, since otherwise the map q will not have a limit. Similarly, the box (i,j,k) must be a sum of vectors of weight $\geq \vartheta_k + \kappa(i-j) + i + j - 1$, since otherwise one of the maps along a row or column would have no limit. Similarly, the multi-segment must have elements with gradings spaced out by $-1-\kappa$, and thus with distances from u given by at least $(1+\kappa)(g-h)/2, (1+\kappa)(g-h+2)/2, \ldots, (1+\kappa)(h-g)/2$.

Thus, if we consider the norm of such a grading according to (8), it must be at least as great as the norm $|\gamma_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}|_m$. This shows the optimality of $\gamma_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}$, since the Kirwan-Ness stratum is non-empty.

Now, consider an arbitrary component of the precore pC. A generic element y of this component can be considered as a representation of the pre-projective algebra of the Crawley-Boevey quiver. Let $U \subset V$ be the maximal submodule with no support on the new vertex, that is, the maximal submodule of the V's killed by q. Modding out by U, we obtain a stable representation V/U, which we can think of as a point $\bar{y}$ in $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}}$. Let $\mathbf{m}$ be the multisegment corresponding to the Jordan type of x on U, and let $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ be the multipartition corresponding to the component of the point $\bar{y}$. Note that these are generically constant on the component.

We can grade V compatibly with a conjugate of $\gamma_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}$ such that we obtain a limit: we assign the weights $(1+\kappa)(g-h)/2, (1+\kappa)(g-h+2)/2, \ldots, (1+\kappa)(h-g)/2$ to each Jordan block on U, and on the quotient V/U, we can use the weights $\vartheta_k + \kappa(i-j) + i + j - 1$ for (i,j,k) in the diagram of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ by the definition of this component. In order to define this grading on V, we must choose a splitting $V/U \to V$. Since $u \gg 0$, any matrix coefficient with source in V/U and target in U thus has a very large weight, and does not interfere with having a limit for the associated lift. The lift $\gamma_{\xi,\emptyset}$ is the optimal cocharacter for V/U by definition and $\gamma_{\emptyset,\mathbf{m}}$ is the optimal cocharacter for U as argued above. Thus, $\gamma_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}$ is (up to conjugacy) the optimal character for y. This shows that there is no Kirwan-Ness stratum open in a component for any other cocharacter, and in particular, none which is Lagrangian. Note, this also shows that two components

which both contain stable points correspond to different Kirwan-Ness strata, since they correspond to different fixed points in $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda$.

Now, let C be a Lagrangian component. As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we can consider the preimage of this component under the map $p\colon E^{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}'} \oplus (E^{\mathbf{w}})^* \to T^*E^{\mathbf{w}}$ for some dominant weight λ' induced by the map $\mathbb{C}^{w_i+w_i'} \cong W_i \oplus W_i' \to \mathbb{C}^{w_i} \cong W_i$ forgetting the last w_i' components. This preimage is Lagrangian in $T^*E^{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}'}$ and lies in the precore for any $\mathbb{T}$ action which acts with very large weights on W_i'.

Without loss of generality, we can order the segments $[m_i, n_i]$ of the multisegment $\mathbf{m}$ so that the lengths $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots$ are weakly decreasing. We let w_i' be the number of multisegments with residue $m_j + n_j \equiv i \pmod e$, and extend $\mathbb{T}$ so that it has weights $\{z + \epsilon j \mid m_j + n_j \equiv i \pmod e\}$ on W_i' for $z \gg 0$ chosen large enough that $p^{-1}(C)$ lies in the precore of the corresponding action.

Note, since x is nilpotent, any destabilizing subrepresentation of a point in $p^{-1}(C)$ contains a vector killed by x. Since each segment contributes a line of vectors in U killed by x, the dimension vector of this subspace is $\mathbf{w}'$. Since the map $q'\colon V_i \to W_i'$ is chosen generically, this map is injective on the kernel of x at a generic point in $p^{-1}(C)$. Thus, a generic point in this component is stable.

Now, let us calculate which Kirwan-Ness stratum a generic point of this component lies in. Since the vector with weight $z + \epsilon$ in $W_{m_1+n_1}$ is hit by some element of U, we must have an element of grading at least $-z - \epsilon + 1$. Furthermore, if $x^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset$ for any element v whose leading order is $\geq -z - \epsilon + 1$ is non-zero, the elements of this preimage must have leading order $\geq -z - \epsilon - \kappa + 2$ in this preimage, and more generally if $x^{-j}(v) \neq \emptyset$, the leading order of it elements must be must be an element of grading $-z - \epsilon - j\kappa + j + 1$. Since q' is injective on the kernel of x, we can assume that some element of the image of x^{n_1-1} over vertex $m_1 + n_1$ has leading order $-z - \epsilon + 1$, and its preimages of have leading orders $-z - \epsilon - j\kappa + j + 1$ for $j \leq n_1 - 1$. This fixes the gradings that appear for n_1 elements.

Similarly, the genericity of q' shows that there is an element of the image of x^{n_2-1} which maps non-trivially to the vector of weight $z + 2\epsilon$ in $W_{m_2+n_2}$ which has not already had its leading order fixed. As argued above, this shows that we have such an element with leading order $\geq -z - 2\epsilon + 1$, and thus taking preimages, elements with leading order $-z - 2\epsilon - j\kappa + j + 1$ for $j \leq n_2 - 1$.

Thus, applying this construction inductively, we find that the leading orders that appear in U must be greater than the weights that appear in $\xi_{(n_1),(n_2),\ldots}$, the cocharacter associated to the multipartition where each partition has a single row, with lengths $n_1, \ldots,$ and the component partitions have associated weights $z + \epsilon, z + 2\epsilon, \ldots$.

Thus, the basis vectors in V/U contribute $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ to our multipartition, and the subspace U will contribute $\big((n_1), (n_2), \ldots\big)$, so the component $p^{-1}(C)$ is sent under reduction to the component of the relative core for $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}'}$ corresponding to $\big(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_\ell, (n_1), (n_2), \ldots\big)$. Since this component only depends on $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and $\mathbf{m}$ (the residues m_i are implicit in the choice of W_i' and the $\mathbb{T}$-action), this shows that this KN stratum has a unique component in its closure. □

We call a loading $\mathbf{i}$ **unsteady** in this case if there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < \vartheta_j$ for all j with every point in $[a - |\kappa|, a]$ sent to 0 by $\mathbf{i}$, but for some $a' < a - |\kappa|$, we have $\mathbf{i}(a') \neq 0$. If there are precisely j such values a', we call the loading j-unsteady. The algebra T^ϑ is the quotient of $\bar{W}^\vartheta$ by the idempotents corresponding to unsteady loadings. For more details, the reader can refer to that given in [Webc, §4.1].

Proposition 5.11. *Every simple in $p\mathcal{O}_\mathrm{g}$ is a summand of L_ν. The sheaves with unstable characteristic varieties are exactly the summands of such push forwards where $\mathbf{i}$ is unsteady.*

Proof. Corresponding to the actions with Lagrangian KN strata $\gamma_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}$, we have loadings $\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}$. The sheaf $L_{\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}}$ is supported on precisely the image of the component $C_{\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}}$ in E, and thus includes the conormal to its smooth locus (which is dense in $C_{\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}}$) with multiplicity one in its characteristic cycle. The other components that appear are lower in the KN order by Theorem 2.22. As in the proof of Lemma 5.3, this means that $\mathfrak{r}(L_{\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}}) = 0$ unless $\mathbf{m} = 0$. The sheaves $\mathfrak{r}(L_{\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\emptyset}})$ give semi-simple objects in $\mathcal{O}_\mathrm{g}$ such that taking the highest component appearing in the support defines a bijection between these objects and the components of the relative core. By [BLPW, 6.17], this means that the semi-simple object $\mathfrak{r}(L_{\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\emptyset}})$ has a unique simple summand Λ_ξ where $C_{\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}}$ is the highest component in its support, and the only other simples are supported on strictly lower components. Since Λ_ξ is complete list of simples in $\mathcal{O}_\mathrm{g}$ by [BLPW, 6.5], this means we have obtained all the simples in $\mathcal{O}_\mathrm{g}$.

Now, assume there is a simple M in $p\mathcal{O}_\mathrm{g}$ which is not a summand of $L_\mathbf{i}$. There must be some highest component (in the KN order) in the support of this module, and some simple summand M' of $L_\mathbf{i}$ which has the same highest component in its support. As in the proof of Proposition 5.10, we can pull back by the map $p\colon E^{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}'} \to E^\mathbf{w}$ for $\mathbf{w}'$ sufficiently large. The pullbacks p^*M and p^*M' will still give two modules in $p\mathcal{O}_\mathrm{g}$ on this new variety, still with the same highest component in their support, and now neither is killed by $\mathfrak{r}$. But as argued above, two simples with the same highest component must be sent under $\mathfrak{r}$ to the same simple. Thus, we have $\mathfrak{r}(M) \cong \mathfrak{r}(M') \neq 0$, but this is only possible for simples if $M \cong M'$ by the exactness of $\mathfrak{r}$. It follows that there is no such M.

Now, consider the sheaf $L_{\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}}$ with $\mathbf{m} \neq \emptyset$. At any point the singular support of this module, we have a destabilizing submodule whose dimension is given by at least the number of boxes in $\mathbf{m}$. Thus, we have produced a different simple module for each such $(\xi, \mathbf{m})$ which is killed by $\mathfrak{r}$. This must be all such modules, since the modules $\mathfrak{r}(L_{\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\emptyset}})$ are non-zero, and contain a number of distinct simple modules equal to the number of multi-partitions. □

Given this result, we can apply Corollary 4.7. This relates $D_{p\mathcal{O}_\mathrm{g}}$ and $D_{\mathcal{O}_\mathrm{g}}$ to weighted KLR algebras for the cyclic quiver and its Crawley-Boevey quiver. We'll use W for the weighted KLR algebra of the cycle with the weight κ along every cyclically oriented edge, $\tilde{T}^\vartheta$ to denote the weighted KLR algebra for the Crawley-Boevey quiver with the weighting ϑ_i along the new edge e_i and κ along oriented edges of the cycle. Then we'll use T^ϑ, as in [Webc], to denote the steadied quotient of $\tilde{T}^\vartheta$, that is the quotient by all idempotents corresponding to unsteady loadings.

Corollary 5.12. *For Γ a cyclic quiver and a generic $\mathbb{T}$-action, the hypothesis* (†) *holds. In particular, for fixed λ, μ, ϑ, we have quasi-equivalences*

$$\tilde{T}_\mu^\vartheta\text{-dg-mod} \cong D_{pO_g} \qquad T_\mu^\vartheta\text{-dg-mod} \cong D_{O_g}.$$

It follows from [Webd, 4.14] that these equivalences intertwine convolution with induction of representations of weighted KLR algebras. In particular, we have that

Corollary 5.13. *The equivalence T_μ^ϑ-dg-mod $\cong D_{O_g}$ intertwines the algebraic categorical action of* [Webb] *on the LHS and geometric categorical action of* [Weba] *on the RHS.*

We can also understand the cell filtration in this case; unfortunately, this is more challenging than the finite type case, since the variety $\mathfrak{N}_\mu^\lambda$ has strata which are not of the form $\mathfrak{N}_\nu^\lambda$. For example, $\mathfrak{N}_{n\delta}^{\omega_0} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n}/(\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n)$ and counting shows that there are not enough weight spaces to account for all the strata.

In particular, for a weight μ and integer n, there is a stratum closure we denote $\mathfrak{N}_{\nu;n}^\lambda$ in $\mathfrak{N}_\mu^\lambda$ given by representations of the preprojective algebra isomorphic to a sum of

- a simple representation with dimension vector v_i with $v_\infty = 1$ and $\lambda = \nu + \sum_{i\in I} v_i\alpha_i$,
- a semi-simple representation with dimension vector given by $v'_\infty = 0$ and $v'_i \leq n$ for all $i \in I$, and
- a trivial representation.

To simplify notation, we denote the subcategory of $\mathcal{O}_g$ supported on $\mathfrak{N}_{\nu;n}^\lambda$ by $\mathcal{O}_g^{\nu;n}$. Note that for n sufficiently large that $\mu' - n\delta \leq \mu$, this subset is independent of n, so we can use $n = \infty$ to indicate this stable range, and thus speak of $\mathfrak{N}_{\nu;\infty}^\lambda$ and $\mathcal{O}_g^{\nu;\infty}$.

In this case, we can also refine the isotypic filtration to account for the presence of a $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e$-action on the vector space $K(\mathcal{O})$; there are both isotypic filtrations for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e$. While in general precisely how to categorify $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e$ is a rather delicate question, for us, it suffices to define certain convolution functors. As discussed in [Webd, 4.3], the D-modules or perverse sheaves on the moduli space of representations of a quiver have a monoidal structure induced by the moduli space of short exact sequences. In our case, we wish to study D-modules on the moduli space of representations of the Crawley-Boevey quiver as a left and a right module over the category of D-modules on the moduli of representations of the original graph Γ.

More precisely, given a decomposition $V_i \cong V'_i \oplus V''_i$, we consider the spaces

$$E^{0,\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{v}';\mathbf{v}''} \cong E^0_{\mathbf{v}'} \oplus E^{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{v}''} \oplus \bigoplus_{e\in\Omega} \operatorname{Hom}(V''_{t(e)}, V'_{h(e)})$$

$$E^{\mathbf{w},0}_{\mathbf{v}';\mathbf{v}''} \cong E^{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{v}'} \oplus E^{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{v}''} \oplus \bigoplus_{e\in\Omega} \operatorname{Hom}(V''_{t(e)}, V'_{h(e)}).$$

These are equipped with the obvious action of

$$G_{\mathbf{v}';\mathbf{v}''} = \{g \in G_v | g(V'_i) = V'_i\}$$

and with natural maps



This allows us to construct the convolution of D-modules

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \star \mathcal{F}_2 := (\pi_t)_*(\pi_s^*\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \pi_t^*\mathcal{F}_2)[\sigma]$$

where σ is the relative dimension of the map $\pi_s \times \pi_t$ (i.e. the dimension of the source minus that of the target). To avoid confusion, we let $p\mathcal{O}^0_{\mathfrak{g}}$ denote the category $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $E^0_{\mathbf{v}}$ for an (implicit) dimension vector $\mathbf{v}$.

Lemma 5.14. *For a fixed $\mathbb{T}$-action $\mathbb{T} \to H/Z$ where (†) holds for $E^{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{v}}$ for all $\mathbf{v}$, we have that if $\mathcal{M} \in D_{p\mathcal{O}^0_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and $\mathcal{N} \in D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, then $\mathcal{M} \star \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N} \star \mathcal{M}$ lie in $D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$. If $\mathfrak{r}(\mathcal{N}) = 0$, then $\mathfrak{r}(\mathcal{N} \star \mathcal{M}) = 0$ as well, so $- \star \mathcal{M}$ defines an action of $D_{p\mathcal{O}^0_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ on $D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, which preserves the subcategory $\mathcal{O}^{\mu;\infty}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

Proof. Since (†) holds, and $\star$ is exact, we can assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{M} = L_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\mathcal{N} = L_{\mathbf{j}}$ both arise from loadings. By [Webd, 4.14], this means that $\mathcal{M} \star \mathcal{N} \cong L_{\mathbf{i}\circ\mathbf{j}}$ and $\mathcal{N} \star \mathcal{M} = L_{\mathbf{j}\circ\mathbf{i}}$ where $\circ$ is induction of loadings as defined in [Webd, §2.4]. These modules lie in $D_{p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ by Theorem 2.22.

Similarly, to establish compatibility with $\mathfrak{r}$, we note that it suffices to assume that $\mathbf{j}$ is an unsteady loading by (†). The induction $\mathbf{j} \circ \mathbf{i}$ is obviously unsteady as well. This shows that we have the desired action of $D_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$. □

The category $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $E^0_{\mathbf{v}}$ in the case of an e-cycle is simply the category of D-modules with singular support where x is nilpotent, or where $\bar{x}$ is nilpotent depending on whether κ is negative or positive. Thus, in terms of the conventional support of these D-modules, we require that the underlying endomorphism (which is just x) is nilpotent, or that the Fourier transform of our D-module has this condition.

The Grothendieck group of this category can be identified with the generic Hall algebra by the function-sheaf correspondence via the map of [SW, 2.12] (defined in more generality in [Webd, 4.17]). Thus it can be thought of as the lower half $U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e)$ as discussed in Hubery [Hub10] and developed in greater detail in [DDF12, §2.3]. The subcategory of D-modules with nilpotent singular support (i.e. both x and $\bar{x}$ must be nilpotent) is a proper subcategory whose Grothendieck group naturally corresponds to $U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e) \subset U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e)$. In fact, $U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e) \cong U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e) \otimes \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, \dots]$ where the elements z_i are central, and form half of a Heisenberg subalgebra in the full $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e$. However, these elements z_i do not correspond to honest D-modules in the Grothendieck group, and thus are harder to deal with. We can think of the action of $p\mathcal{O}^0_{\mathfrak{g}}$ via convolution as inducing an action of $U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e)$ on $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}})$, with the restriction to $U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e)$ having a natural categorical interpretation.

The action of $U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e)$ is part of the categorical $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$-action defined in [Weba, Th. A]; it is generated by the skyscraper sheaves $\mathscr{F}_i$ on $E^0_{\alpha_i} \cong \{0\}/\mathbb{C}^*$. Since this action can also be interpreted as convolution with Harish-Chandra bimodules by [Weba, 3.3], they preserve the set of modules supported on any system of subvarieties closed under convolution with Nakajima's Hecke correspondence Z. Thus, applying this to $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_{\mu;0}$, we have:

Lemma 5.15. *The categorical $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$-action preserves the subcategory $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mu;0}$.*

We let $J_{\mu;\gamma}$ be the intersection of the spaces generated under $U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e)$ by vectors of weight $\geq \mu$ and under $U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e)$ by vectors of weight $\geq \gamma$. The most interesting case is $J_{\nu;\nu-n\delta}$, which is the same as the vectors that can be obtained from vectors of weight $\geq \nu$ by elements of the Heisenberg of weight up to $-n\delta$ and by $U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e)$. For each weight μ, note that $J_{\nu;\nu-n\delta}$ contains the whole μ weight space unless $\nu > \widehat{W}_e \cdot \mu$, that is ν is greater than every element of the $\widehat{W}_e$-orbit of μ.

Theorem 5.16. *The special strata of $\mathfrak{N}^\lambda_\mu$ are exactly those of the form $\mathfrak{N}^\lambda_{\nu;n}$ for ν dominant with $\lambda \geq \nu \geq \nu - n\delta \geq \widehat{W}_e \cdot \mu$ and the 2-sided cell filtration on $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}})$ matches the refined isotypic filtration, with $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\nu;n}) \cong J_{\nu;\nu-n\delta}$ and more generally, $J_{\nu;\gamma} \cong K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\nu;\infty}) \cap K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\gamma;0})$.*

The proof of this theorem is quite long, so we will give it after some preliminary lemmata.

Note that this shows that these quantizations of $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu$ are **interleaved** in the sense of [BLPW, §6]. This result confirms in the case of an integral character χ the conjecture [BL, 9.6], which conjectures a more complicated description of this filtration for a general χ. This conjecture was inspired in turn by one of Etingof for rational Cherednik algebras [Eti12].

A little combinatorics makes it easier to understand the weights ν appearing in Theorem 5.16. The Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e$ is given by a central extension of $\mathfrak{gl}_e[t, t^{-1}]$ by $\mathbb{C}$, and taking the extension by an element ∂_i for which the Chevalley generator E_0 has weight 1 and all others have weight 0. Consider an e-tuple $(t_1, \dots, t_e)$ of integers, and extend t_i to all integers via the rule $t_{i+e} = t_i - \ell$. A level ℓ dominant integral weight ν of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e$ corresponds to an e-tuple $(t_1, \dots, t_e)$ with $\alpha_i^\vee(\nu) = t_i - t_{i+1} \geq 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ together with the eigenvalue η of ∂. We can think of the t_i's as specifying a cylindrical partition.

Definition 5.17. *The transpose of $(t_1, \dots, t_e), \eta$ is the sequence $(s_1, \dots s_\ell), \phi$ defined by letting s_k be the largest integer such that $t_{s_k} \geq k$, with $\phi = -\eta$.*

We have $s_k \geq s_{k+1}$, and $s_{k+\ell} = s_k - e$, so this is a level e weight for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$. This is one manifestation of rank-level duality.

In these terms, α_i gives the e-tuple $(0, \dots, 1, -1, \dots 0)$ with $\eta = \delta_{i,e}$ (so η functions as an "odometer" that notices the difference between δ and 0). Another weight $(t'_1, \dots, t'_e)$ and η' is below $(t_1, \dots, t_e), \eta$ in the root order if there are $v_i \geq 0$ such that $t'_i = t_i - v_i + v_{i-1}$ and $\eta' = \eta - v_e$. One can think of this as dominance order for

cylindrical partitions: we can think of subtracting α_i as moving a box to the right, with α_0 subtracting from η to remind the user that the box used has gone around the cylinder. Just as transpose reverses dominance order for usual partitions, transpose will reverse this root order as well.

In the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^0$, there is a simple given by the vector space $\mathbb{C}$ considered as a sheaf on $E_0^0 = \{0\}$. Convolution on the left and right with this object gives the identity functor, so we call it **neutral**. We define a **non-neutral** object to be a non-trivial object in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^0$ which does not contain the neutral object as a summand. In particular, a simple non-neutral object must have support that is disjoint from E_0^0.

Lemma 5.18. *Assume μ is dominant. The simples in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ that have a closed free orbit in their support are precisely those which are not summands of a left or right convolution with a non-neutral object in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^0$.*

Proof. Note that an orbit is free if and only if its automorphism group as a preprojective module is given by the scalars $\mathbb{C}^*$ (these are not included in $G_{\mathbf{v}}$, since they also act nontrivially on W), and closed if and only if the underlying object is semi-simple. Thus, the orbit will be free and closed if and only if the object is simple.

If we perform a left convolution with non-neutral object in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^0$, then every point of the singular support of the resulting sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ has a destabilizing submodule considered as a module over the preprojective algebra of the Crawley-Boevey quiver by Proposition 5.11. Symmetrically, if we perform a right convolution, it has a non-trivial quotient just supported on Γ (so it is unstable for the opposite stability condition). In either case, no point in the support corresponds to a simple preprojective module.

Consider a component C of the relative precore pC which does not contain a simple representation of the preprojective algebra. If every point in C is unstable, there is a semi-simple module L_C in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ which is supported on C and components below it in the KN order, and by construction, this is a summand of $L_{\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\mathbf{m}}} \cong L_{\mathbf{i}_{\emptyset,\mathbf{m}}} \star L_{\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\emptyset}}$ with $\mathbf{m} \neq 0$. Thus, it is a summand of a convolution and has no closed free orbits in its support. If the generic point of C is stable, then we can apply the same argument, but using the KN decomposition is $u \ll 0$, that is, for the opposite stability condition. This shows that there is a simple $L_C \subset L_{\mathbf{i}_{\xi,\emptyset}} \star L_{\mathbf{i}_{\emptyset,\mathbf{m}}}$, where now $\mathbf{m}$ comes from the Jordan type of x acting on a maximal quotient of V. Note that this assigns a unique simple in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ to each component, since no modules from the first list could coincide with ones on the second: the latter always has an component which is generically stable in their support, and the former never do.

As proven in Proposition 5.11, there is a bijection between Lagrangian components and simples in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$. Furthermore, if the component has a free closed orbit, i.e. its generic point is semi-simple, then its corresponding simple must have a free and closed orbit in its support. Since we've already shown that the set of simples without a free and closed orbit in their support is at least as large as the set of components without a free and closed orbit, by the pigeonhole principle, the converse must hold: if the support of a simple has a free and closed orbit, so must the corresponding component (even though the singular support need not be irreducible. □

As mentioned before, by [Webd, 4.14], the equivalence of Corollary 5.12 intertwines convolution with induction of modules over weighted KLR algebras. Thus, a simple module in $p\mathcal{O}_g$ is a left or right convolution with a non-neutral object of $p\mathcal{O}_g^0$ if and only if the corresponding module over $\tilde{T}^{\underline{\lambda}}$ is an induction (in the sense of [Webd, §2.4]) of a module over $\tilde{T}^{\underline{\lambda}}$ tensored on the left or right with a module over W.

We'll use both in the proof below and later in the paper that there is a crystal structure on components of $(\mathfrak{M}_\mu^\lambda)^+$. The Kashiwara operators are defined as follows:

- $\tilde{e}_i(C)$ is the unique component such that a generic point in $\tilde{e}_i(C)$ is a submodule of some generic point of C with 1-dimensional cokernel supported on i.
- $\tilde{f}_i(C)$ is the unique component such that a generic point in $\tilde{f}_i(C)$ contains some generic point of C as a submodule with 1-dimensional cokernel supported on i.

The proof that these give a crystal in Kashiwara-Saito [KS97, 5.2.6] carries through without changes; the tensor product case of this result is observed without proof by Nakajima in [Nak01, 4.3]. We let $\epsilon_i(C)$ for a component be the dimension of a maximal quotient of a generic point of C supported on the single vertex i.

The argument of [ST12, 6.4] shows that:

Theorem 5.19. *Under the bijection $\xi \mapsto C_\xi$, the ϑ-weight combinatorial crystal structure on charged partitions of* [Webc, 5.5] *is matched with this geometric structure.*

For any component, we have a string parameterization. This is the sequence of numbers

$$a_1 = \epsilon_1(C) \quad a_2 = \epsilon_2(\tilde{e}_1^{a_1}C) \quad a_k = \epsilon_k(\tilde{e}_{k-1}^{a_{k-1}} \cdots \tilde{e}_1^{a_1}C).$$

Since $\epsilon_i(C) \leq v_i$, we must have $a_k(C) = 0$ for $k \gg 0$, and $\tilde{e}_{k-1}^{a_{k-1}} \cdots \tilde{e}_1^{a_1}C$ stabilizes at some component C^+.

We can construct all the simples in $D_{\mathcal{O}_g}$ by starting with the simples that correspond (under the KN order) to the components with $\epsilon_i(C) = 0$ for all i letting H_C denote the corresponding simple. For an arbitrary component with a_k and C^+ as above, we consider $H'_C = \mathcal{F}_{i_1}^{(a_1)} \cdots \mathcal{F}_{i_k}^{(a_k)} H_{C^+}$. This sheaf has multiplicity 1 along C in its singular support, so it has a unique simple summand H_C which contains C in its singular support. Every other component D in the support of H'_C, and thus of H_C, has string parameterization which is longer in lexicographic order, or has the same string parameterization and $D^+ < C^+$ in the KN order. Thus, $C \mapsto H_C$ gives a new bijection between components and sheaves.

Lemma 5.20. *We have $H_C \in \mathcal{O}^{\gamma;0}$ if and only if $\gamma \leq \mathrm{wt}(C^+)$.*

Proof. If $\epsilon_i(C) = 0$ for all i, then $C = C^+$. If we consider the generic point of C as a representation, and mod out by the submodule generated by the Crawley-Boevey vertex, then we obtain a representation of the preprojective algebra of the cycle with no quotients supported on a single vertex, where x acts nilpotently. Since x and $\bar{x}$ commute (by the preprojective relation), this representation decomposes into summands according to the generalized eigenspaces of $\bar{x}$. If generically the generalized 0-eigenspace of $\bar{x}$ is non-trivial, then we will have a quotient where x

and $\bar{x}$ act trivially, which we have assumed is not the case. Therefore, we only have $H_C \in \mathcal{O}^{\gamma;0}$ if and only if $\gamma \leq \mathrm{wt}(C)$, as desired.

For a general C, we have $H'_C \in \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{wt}(C^+);0}$ by construction, since $\mathcal{F}_i$ preserves this subcategory. Thus, the same is true of H_C. On the other hand, if $\gamma \not\leq \mathrm{wt}(C^+)$, we do not have $H_C \in \mathcal{O}^{\gamma;0}$, since the semi-simplification of the generic point in C contains a submodule isomorphic to the generic point of C^+. This generic point has no trivial summands, as argued above, so the support is outside $\mathfrak{N}^{\lambda}_{\gamma;0}$. □

Proof of Theorem 5.16. First, note that we must have $J_{\nu;\gamma} \subset K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\nu;\infty} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\gamma;0})$ since $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\nu;\infty})$ is closed under the action of $U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e)$ by Lemma 5.14, and $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\gamma;0})$ under the action of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ by Lemma 5.15.

On the other hand, assume $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\nu;\infty} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\gamma;0}$ is a simple module supported on $\mathfrak{M}^{\lambda}_{\mu}$. We wish to show by induction that $[\mathcal{M}] \in J_{\nu;\gamma}$; we assume this holds for any weight $> \mu$. By Lemma 5.18, we either have that a generic point in the support of $\mathcal{M}$ is a simple module or this generic point has a proper quotient supported on Γ. In the former case, we must have $\mu \geq \nu$ and $\mu \geq \gamma$, so obviously $[\mathcal{M}] \in J_{\nu;\gamma}$. In the latter, we have that $\mathcal{M}$ is a summand of convolution with a non-neutral object by Lemma 5.14, and by construction, the object we induce with lives in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\nu;\infty}$ as well. Thus, by induction $[\mathcal{M}]$ is in the subspace generated over by elements of weight $\geq \nu$ over $U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e)$.

By Lemma 5.20, we must have $\mathcal{M} = H_C$ for some component C with $\mathrm{wt}(C^+) \geq \gamma$. Thus, H'_C is generated from a vector of weight $\mathrm{wt}(C^+)$ by the action of functors $\mathcal{F}_i$ so $[H'_C]$ is obtained from a vector of weight $\geq \gamma$ by elements of $U^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e)$. The same is true for $[H'_D]$ for D every other component appearing in the support of H'_C. Since $[\mathcal{M}]$ is a linear combination of the classes $[H'_D]$, we ultimately find that $[\mathcal{M}] \in J_{\nu;\gamma}$ whenever $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\nu;\infty} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\gamma;0}$.

We also need to show that if $\mathrm{supp}\, \mathcal{M} \subset \mathfrak{N}^{\lambda}_{\nu;n}$ and it is not contained in any smaller stratum of this type, then the smallest stratum containing the support of $\mathcal{M}$ is precisely $\mathfrak{N}^{\lambda}_{\nu;n}$. Since H_C and H_{C^+} have the same minimal stratum containing them, we can assume that $\mathcal{M} = H_C$ with $C = C^+$.

Applying Fourier transform if necessary, we may assume that $\kappa > 0$. Fix an integer n and $g, \epsilon > 0$ chosen so that $g \gg \kappa \gg \epsilon$. Consider the D-module $Y_{\mathbf{i}}$ where $\mathbf{i}$ is the loading putting a dot labeled i at $g + \epsilon i, \ldots, ng + \epsilon i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, e$; that is, this loading has n subsets separated by the "long" distance g, with each subset consisting of points with total dimension vector δ which are tightly clustered. The space C_i given by the first i subsets is invariant in the usual sense and thus gives an invariant flag the representation for each point in $X_{\mathbf{i}}$ with $\dim C_i/C_{i-1} = \delta$. On C_i/C_{i-1}, the map going around the cycle is an endomorphism of a 1-dimensional vector space, and thus a scalar, which is the same at all points of the cycle. Thus, we have a natural map $X_{\mathbf{i}} \to \mathbb{C}^n$ sending a representation with flag to the n-tuple of scalars associated to C_i/C_{i-1}. If we let $\mathbb{C}^n_\circ = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_i \neq x_j \neq 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j\}$ then we have an open inclusion $\mathbb{C}^n_\circ \to X_{\mathbf{i}}$ sending $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ to the representation where the spaces are all $\mathbb{C}^n$ equipped with the standard flag and the map along one edge is $\mathrm{diag}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$

and along all the others is the identity. We have a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^n_\circ & \longrightarrow & X_{\mathbf{i}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}^n_\circ/S_n & \longrightarrow & E_{n\delta}/G_{n\delta} \end{array}$$

since two points in $\mathbb{C}^n_\circ$ will give isomorphic representations if and only if they differ by a permutation. Thus, to each representation of S_n (and thus to a partition ξ of n), we have an induced local system on $\mathbb{C}^n_\circ/S_n$, and thus an intermediate extension D-module Z'_ξ on $E_{n\delta}/G_{n\delta}$. The Cartesian diagram above shows that these are all summands of $Y_{\mathbf{i}}$ and lie in $p\mathcal{O}^0_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Since we have been using $\kappa < 0$ for most of the paper, let use switch back to this case, by replacing Z'_ξ by their Fourier transform Z_ξ; that is, we switch the role of x and $\bar{x}$. In particular, the map to $\mathbb{C}^n_\circ/S_n$ is now given by the spectrum of $\bar{x}$, and x must be nilpotent.

The convolutions $H_D \star Z_\xi$ for D a component with a free and closed orbit in it give a collection of modules. Each of these has support on the unique component $D_{;n}$ whose generic point is an extension of a generic point in D by a module U which is an extension of n simple modules over the preprojective algebra with $\bar{x}$ acting on each with a different non-zero eigenvalue. Since the restriction of H_D to D gives a trivial local system, the restriction of $H_D \star Z_\xi$ to this component gives the pullback of the local system associated to the partition ξ under the birational map $D_{;n} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n_\circ/S_n$ sending a generic point to the spectrum of $\bar{x}$ on U.

We let $H_{D,\xi} \subset H_D \star Z_\xi$ be the unique summand which contains this component in its support. No two of these with the same D are isomorphic, since they give different local systems on $D_{;n}$. No two with different D coincide, as the Kirwan-Ness order with $u \ll 0$ shows.

Thus, we have a set of simples whose size is the number of components with $C = C^+$, and all of which contain such a component in their support. By the pigeonhole principle, this must be a complete list of the modules H_C with $C = C^+$, and the smallest stratum which contains their support is indeed $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_{\nu;n}$ where $\nu = \mathrm{wt}(D)$ and n is the number of boxes in ξ.

Finally, we need to show that if $\lambda \geq \nu \geq \nu - n\delta \geq \widehat{W}_e \cdot \mu$, and ν is dominant, there is at least one simple in the 2-sided cell of $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_{\nu;n}$, and thus to check that there is at least one component of $(\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu)^+$ which intersects this stratum non-trivially. Our condition on weights precisely guarantees that this component is non-empty, and a non-empty stratum will always have points with limits under a Hamiltonian action. □

One important consideration is how this filtration can be realized algebraically. Let $\mathcal{J}_{\mu;\gamma}$ be the intersection of the subcategories generated by objects T^ϑ -dg-mod of weight $\geq \mu$ under induction with projective modules over the weighted KLR algebra W of Γ, and by objects of weight $\geq \gamma$ under the action of the categorical $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ action. These latter can be thought of as induction with loadings where the gaps between

points are $> |\kappa|$; we call these loadings **Hecke**. It's manifest from the match of induction and convolution ([Webd, 4.14]) that:

Proposition 5.21. *The quasi-equivalence T^ϑ -dg-mod $\cong \mathcal{O}_g$ induces quasi-equivalences $\mathcal{I}_{\mu;\gamma} \cong \mathcal{O}_g^{\mu;\infty} \cap \mathcal{O}_g^{\gamma;0}$.* □

In [Webc], we introduced **change-of-charge** functors which are weighted analogues of the R-matrix functors from [Webb, §6]; they have a very similar geometric definition. These can be assembled into an strong action of the affine braid group $\widehat{B}_\ell$.

By a retread of the argument in Proposition 5.7, we see that:

Corollary 5.22. *The quasi-equivalence T^ϑ -dg-mod $\cong D_{\mathcal{O}_g}$ intertwines the change-of-charge functor $\mathscr{B}^{\vartheta,\vartheta'} \overset{L}{\otimes} -$ with the shuffling functor $\mathscr{S}^{\vartheta,\vartheta'}$.* □

5.3. **Koszul duality.** The ring T^ϑ is Koszul and its Koszul dual is another algebra of the same type. In order to state this precisely, let us briefly describe the combinatorics underlying this duality. Fix a positive integer w and a $\ell \times e$ matrix of integers $U = \{u_{ij}\}$, and let $s_i = \sum_{j=1}^{e} u_{ij}$ and $t_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} u_{ij}$. Associated to the gth column of U, we have a charged e-core partition. An e-core is, by definition, a partition which has no removable ribbons of length e.

Our desired e-core can be characterized as the unique charged partition to which is possible to add one e-ribbon with contents $e(u_{gk}-1)+k, e(u_{gk}-1)+k+1, \dots, eu_{gk}+k-1$ for each $k = 1, \dots, e$, and no others. Let v_i be the unique integer such that $v_i - w$ is the total number of boxes of residue i in all these partitions. We wish to consider the affine quiver variety for the highest weight $\lambda := \sum_i \omega_{s_i}$ with the dimension vector v_i; that is, with weight $\mu := \lambda - \sum v_i \alpha_i$.

As discussed earlier, the associated weight μ is dominant if $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \cdots \geq t_e \geq t_1 - \ell$. Similarly, we call s_i **JMMO** if $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots \geq s_\ell \geq s_1 - e$. Note that just as the dominant weights are a fundamental region for the action of the affine Weyl group $\widehat{W}_e$, we have that the JMMO weights are a fundamental region for the level e action of $\widehat{W}_\ell$ on $\mathbb{Z}^\ell$. In fact, these lift to a $\widehat{W}_e \times \widehat{W}_\ell$-action on the matrices U by applying the level 1 action of the $\widehat{W}_e$ to each row, and the level 1 action of $\widehat{W}_\ell$ to each column.

In order to describe a category $\mathcal{O}$, we also need to consider a $\mathbb{T}$-action on the corresponding quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_\mu^\lambda$. This is equivalent to a weighting of the Crawley-Boevey graph for λ, where we enumerate the new edges so that e_i connects to the node corresponding to the residue of $s_i \pmod e$. We let ϑ_U be the weighting where we give each edge of the oriented cycle weight ℓ, and the new edge e_i the weight $s_i\ell + ie$. Up to a multiple, this is the Uglov weighting attached **s** by [Webc, 2.6].

Proposition 5.23. *Every generic cocharacter $\mathbb{T} \to H/Z$ has a category $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mathfrak{M}_\mu^\lambda$ which coincides with that of $\pm\vartheta_U$ for some U.*

Proof. We can scale the cocharacter until the weight of the cycle is ℓe, and choose a lift where all old edges have weight ℓ. Now, for each edge e_i with weight ϑ, we write $\vartheta_i = s_i\ell + \vartheta_i'$ with $0 \leq \vartheta_i' < \ell e$ and $s_i \equiv r_i \pmod e$. We can reindex the edges so that $\vartheta_1' < \cdots < \vartheta_\ell'$; by genericity, these are all distinct.

Having made this reindexing, the action corresponding to s_i is the one we desire. To see that it is equivalent to our original action, we consider the action of $\mathbb{T}$ on the tangent space of a $\mathbb{T}$-fixed point. Applying [NY04, 2.11], the weights that appear in these tangent spaces are of the form $(\vartheta_i - r_i\ell) - (\vartheta_j - r_j\ell) + ge\ell$ for $g \in \mathbb{Z}$; since

$$(\vartheta'_i + (s_i - r_i)\ell) - (\vartheta'_j + (s_j - r_j)\ell) + ge\ell.$$

On the other hand for our standard action, the same vector will have weight

$$(ie + (s_i - r_i)\ell) - (je + (s_j - r_j)\ell) + ge\ell.$$

If $s_i - r_i + ge > s_j - r_j$, then this weight is positive in both cases (since $\vartheta'_i - \vartheta'_j < \ell e$ and similarly with $(i-j)e < \ell e$) and similarly with the opposite inequality. If $s_i - r_i + ge = s_j - r_j$, then $\vartheta'_i < \vartheta'_j$ if and only if $i < j$ (by definition). This shows that the relative cores of the two actions coincide, so the corresponding category $\mathcal{O}$'s do as well. □

Let $U^!$ be the transpose of U, and $\mu^! = \lambda(U^!), \lambda^! = \mu(U^!)$ the weights attached to $U^!$ and w by the recipe above. We have switched the roles of μ and λ here, since changing μ and holding λ constant will change $\mu^!$ and vice versa. More generally, we have an order reversing bijection from dominant weights in the interval

$$[\lambda, \widehat{W}_e \cdot \mu] := \{\nu \mid \lambda \geq \nu \geq w \cdot \mu \text{ for all } w \in \widehat{W}_e\}$$

to the dominant weights in $[\mu^!, \widehat{W}_\ell \cdot \lambda^!]$, which we denote by $\nu \mapsto \nu^!$, given by taking the tranpose of the corresponding cylindrical partition and sending $\eta \mapsto w - \eta$.

Theorem 5.24 ([Webc, Th. C])**.** *The graded abelian categories* $T_\mu^{\pm\vartheta_U}$ -mod *and* $T_{\lambda^!}^{\mp\vartheta_{U^!}}$ -mod *are Koszul dual.*

Note that Theorem 2.19 gives a new proof that these algebras are Koszul. Using Theorem 5.11, we can give a reformulation of this result. We let $\mathcal{O}_\mu^{\lambda;\vartheta}$ be the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for Nakajima's stability condition on the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_\mu^\lambda$ for the $\mathbb{T}$ action coming from ϑ.

Corollary 5.25. *We have an equivalence of abelian categories* $\mathcal{O}_\mu^{\lambda;\vartheta_U} \cong T_{\lambda^!}^{-\vartheta_{U^!}}$ -$\underline{\text{mod}}$*. In particular, categories* $\mathcal{O}_\mu^{\lambda;\vartheta_U}$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda^!}^{\mu^!;-\vartheta_{U^!}}$ *have graded lifts which are Koszul dual.*

By Proposition 5.23, this describes the Koszul dual of every integral geometric category $\mathcal{O}$ for an affine type A quiver variety. Of course, this functor is quite inexplicit; it would be very interesting to define a more concrete functor between these categories.

One thing we do know about this equivalence is that it categorifies rank-level duality. That is, we can consider the Grothendieck group of $\mathbf{O} := \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_\mu^{\lambda;\vartheta_U}$ for U varying across all integer matrices U and all w, and $\mathbf{O}^! := \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\mu^!}^{\lambda^!;-\vartheta_{U^!}}$ the same category with the $\mathbb{T}$ action negated and e and ℓ switching roles. Koszul duality induces an isomorphism of the Grothendieck groups $K(\mathbf{O}) = K(\mathbf{O}^!)$. Note that some care about signs is needed here, as discussed in [BLPW, 10.28]; thus, this isomorphism should not send standard classes to standard classes, but rather to signed standards. We can

capture this sign by identifying both Grothendieck groups with $\bigwedge^{\infty/2}(\mathbb{C}^\ell \otimes \mathbb{C}^e)[u, u^{-1}]$, sending the standards from $\mathbf{O}$ to the pure wedges where we order basis vectors in $\mathbb{C}^\ell \otimes \mathbb{C}^e$ lexicographically considering the left tensor factor first (for the obvious order on the basis of $\mathbb{C}^\ell$ and $\mathbb{C}^e$), and the standards from $\mathbf{O}^!$ with the pure wedges where we order considering the right factor first. The set of simples in $\mathcal{O}_\mu^{\lambda;\vartheta_U}$ are indexed by ℓ-multipartitions where the number of boxes of content i is v_i; these can be interpreted as ℓ-strand abaci. As proven in [Webc, 6.4], the Koszul duality bijection is given by cutting the abacus into $\ell \times e$ rectangles and flipping, as in the picture below:

(9)



Using this isomorphism, we can endow both spaces with simultaneous $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$ actions. It follows from Corollary 5.13 and [Webc, 5.8] that:

Lemma 5.26. *We have an isomorphism of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e \times \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$-modules $K(\mathbf{O}) \cong \bigwedge^{\infty/2}(\mathbb{C}^\ell \otimes \mathbb{C}^e)[u, u^{-1}]$ of the Grothendieck group with a semi-infinite wedge space, identifying pure wedges with standard modules.*

The classes of the simples or projectives in $\mathbf{O}$ or $\mathbf{O}^!$ form a perfect basis in the sense of [BK07, §5] for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ by [CR08, 5.20], and since these are exchanged under Koszul duality they are simultaneously a perfect basis for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e \times \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$. Thus, the basis itself has a crystal structure for this algebra. Let this crystal be denoted $\mathbf{B}$.

From the bijection above, we can describe this crystal structure explicitly using [Webc, 5.6]; this is, in fact, a straightforward consequence of the theory of "highest weight categorifications" due to Losev [Los13]. We identify each rectangle in the picture above with a basis of $\mathbb{C}^\ell \otimes \mathbb{C}^e$ (with $\mathbb{C}^\ell$ running vertically, and $\mathbb{C}^e$ horizontally). A Kashiwara operator $\tilde{f}_i$ for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ pushes a bead whose x-coordinate is $i \mod e$ one step to the right. As usual, we choose the bead by putting open parenthesis as each spot where we can do this, and a close parenthesis over each spot where it can be undone (where we can push a bead with x-coordinate is $i+1 \mod e$ to the left), using height as a tie-breaker (higher beads are "further right"). We then push the bead corresponding to the leftmost uncanceled parenthesis. The $\tilde{f}_j$ for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$ are given by the same procedure, but push up instead of right, where a bead which is pushed off the top appears at the bottom e steps to the right.

We would like to understand how various geometric and algebraic constructions match under this Koszul duality. In particular, the categories $T^{-\vartheta_{U^!}}_{\lambda^!}$-$\underline{\text{mod}}$ are interesting not just on their own, but because they carry an interesting action of change-of-charge functors. These correspond to changing $\vartheta_{U^!}$, while keeping $\lambda^!$ constant; according to the prescription given above, this would be accomplished by acting on μ by the affine Weyl group $\widehat{W}_e$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$, but as we already noted in Section 4.4, acting on this weight and keeping the stability condition constant has the same effect as changing stability condition while keeping the dimension vector constant. Thus, we

let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}^w$ denote the category $\mathcal{O}$ for the stability condition $w^{-1} \cdot \xi$, and let r^w denote the corresponding quotient functor.

Thus, the change-of-charge functors relate category $\mathcal{O}$'s which are actually quotients of a single common category $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ for the G_μ-action on E_μ^λ and the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action corresponding to ϑ_U. Furthermore, it is relatively easy to understand the structure of these quotient functors. First note that:

Lemma 5.27. *If L is a simple object in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ with $\mathrm{r}^v(L) \neq 0$ and P is a projective cover of $\mathrm{r}^v(L)$, then $\mathrm{r}_!^v(P)$ is a projective cover of L.*

In particular, if L is a simple whose singular support contains a closed free G_μ-orbit, then $\mathrm{r}^v(L)$ is non-zero for all stability conditions, and there is a projective Q in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ such that $\mathrm{r}^v(Q)$ is the projective cover of $\mathrm{r}^v(L)$ in the category $\mathcal{O}$ for any $v \in \widehat{W}_e$.

Proof. Since r is exact, $\mathrm{r}_!(P)$ is projective, and obviously has a natural surjective map $\mathrm{r}_!(P) \to L$. Since $\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{r}_!(P)) \cong \mathrm{End}(P)$ is local, this projective is indecomposable and thus a projective cover of L.

Now, turn to the second part; by the first part, we have $Q \cong \mathrm{r}_!^v(P)$, so $P \cong \mathrm{r}^v(Q)$. □

Thus, one common tie between these different categories $\mathcal{O}$ is the collection of simples whose singular supports contain a closed free orbit. We wish to understand how these simples in $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ match up with simple modules over $T_{\lambda^!}^{\vartheta_{U^!}}$. As a first step, we consider which projectives of $T_\mu^{\vartheta_U}$ they match with.

More generally, we should understand how the cell filtration behaves under Koszul duality. We expect that the quiver varieties for dual data $\mathfrak{M}_\mu^\lambda$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda^!}^{\mu^!}$ will have an order reversing bijection between their special strata.

Proposition 5.28. *The map $\mathfrak{N}_{\nu;n}^\lambda \mapsto \mathfrak{N}_{\nu^!+n\delta;n}^{\mu^!}$ is an order reversing bijection between special strata. Koszul duality induces a bijection between simple modules which sends modules with support contained in $\mathfrak{N}_{\mu;n}^\nu$ but not any smaller stratum to those supported in $\mathfrak{N}_{\lambda^!;n}^{\nu^!+n\delta}$ but not any smaller stratum.*

Proof. The statement about strata follows immediately from Theorem 5.16 and the fact that $\nu \mapsto \nu^!$ is an order reversing bijection and $(\nu - n\delta)^! = \nu^! + n\delta$.

Taking the sum of the subspaces $J_{\nu-n\delta,\nu}$ over all U, we obtain a subspace $J_{\nu-n\delta,\nu} \subset \bigwedge^{\infty/2}(\mathbb{C}^\ell \otimes \mathbb{C}^e)[u, u^{-1}]$. This subspace is invariant under $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ by definition and under $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$ for all ν, γ, since the central extension of $\mathfrak{sl}_\ell[t, t^{-1}]$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e$ commute, and the element $\partial \in \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$ commutes past $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_e$ by inducing a derivation.

Thus, the 2-sided cell is just defined by which $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell \times \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ highest weight simples lie in it. All such simples in the 2-sided cell $\mathfrak{N}_{\nu;n}^\lambda$ are supported on $\mathfrak{N}_{\nu-n\delta}^{\nu^!}$, and they are precisely the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ highest weight objects supported on this variety, since all the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ highest weight vectors in this weight space are obtained from the unique highest weight vector of weight ν by the action of the Heisenberg.

Koszul duality sends these vectors to the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$ highest weight objects of weight $\nu^!$, that is, the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell \times \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ highest weight objects in the 2-sided cell for $\mathfrak{N}^{\mu^!}_{\nu^!+n\delta;n}$. This completes the proof. □

The simples of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ have a finer decomposition into sets called left and right cells. We say that L and M are in the same **left cell** if their sections $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}(L)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}(M)$ have the same annihilator where we assume we have translated to a period where localization holds; this is well-defined since the sections of L at different choices of χ where localization holds are related by a Morita equivalence of the section algebras. We say that they are in the same **right cell** if L is a composition factor in $B \otimes M$ for some Harish-Chandra bimodule and **vice versa**.

Conjecture 5.29. *Two simples are in the same left cell if they have the same weight and the same string parametrization for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$; similarly, two simples are in the same right cell if and only if they have the same weight, and the same string parametrization for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$.*

One important special case of this correspondence is where $\mu = \nu$; in this case, the simples whose support lie in no smaller stratum are exactly those whose support contains a free closed orbit. Proposition 5.28 shows these match under Koszul duality with simples supported over the point strata; these are the same as simples in the category generated by the highest weight object of weight $\mu^!$. That is, they match with the projectives for Hecke loadings, those where the strands are separated by at least $|\kappa|$ units. Thus, if we let P^0 be the sum of these projectives as before, and abuse notation to let it denote the corresponding projective in $\mathcal{O}^v_{\mathrm{g}}$, we have that:

Corollary 5.30. *The projective covers of simples in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}}$ with support that contains a free and closed orbit correspond to the modules over $T^{\vartheta_U}_{\lambda^!}$ for Hecke loadings. In particular, $\mathfrak{r}^v_!(P^0)$ is independent of v, and has* $\mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{r}^v_!(P^0)) \cong T^{\mu^!}_{\lambda^!}$.

From this, we can deduce that:

Theorem 5.31. *The equivalence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{g}} \cong T^{-\vartheta^!_U}_{\lambda^!}$-$\underline{\mathrm{mod}}$ intertwines twisting functors $\mathscr{T}_i$ with change-of-charge functors $\mathscr{B}^{\vartheta, s_i\vartheta}$.*

Proof. Given an exceptional collection Δ_i indexed by an ordered set $(\Upsilon, >)$, the **mutation** of this exceptional collection for a new order $>'$ on Υ is the unique exceptional collection such that Δ'_i lies in the triangulated category generated by $\{\Delta_j\}_{j \geq' i}$ and $\Delta'_i \equiv \Delta_i$ modulo the triangulated category generated by $\{\Delta_j\}_{j >' i}$. The first fact that we need is that both the twisting functor $\mathscr{T}_i$ and change-of-charge functors send the standard exceptional collection in the source category to a mutation of the standard exceptional collection. In the first case, this is proven algebraically for the Rickard complexes in [Webc, 5.21]; in the second, this follows from [Webc, 5.14]. In both cases, the change of order is that induced by the generator of the affine braid group $\widehat{B}_\ell$.

Thus, if we consider the composition $\mathscr{B}^{\vartheta, s_i\vartheta} \circ \mathscr{T}_i^{-1}$, this functor is exact and sends projectives to projectives. On the other hand, the twisting functors send P^0 to P^0 and

induces the identity functor on morphisms by Corollary 5.30. The same is manifestly true for change-of-charge functors. By the faithfulness of the cover $\mathrm{Hom}(P^0, -)$, the functor $\mathscr{B}^{\vartheta, s_i\vartheta} \circ \mathscr{T}_i^{-1}$ must thus be isomorphic to the identity and the result follows. □

Proof of Theorem C. We already know that the Koszul dual equivalence $D_{\mathcal{O}_g} \cong T_\mu^{\vartheta_U}$ -dg-mod intertwines *shuffling* functors with change-of-charge functors by Corollary 5.22. Thus, Theorem 5.31 shows the desired Koszul duality of twisting and shuffling, completing the proof of Theorem C. □

5.4. **Symplectic duality.** This theorem is part of a more general picture, laid out by Braden, Licata, Proudfoot and the author [BLPW, §9], called **symplectic duality**. The underlying idea is that there is a duality operation on symplectic cones which switches certain geometric data.

We regard Corollary 5.25 as evidence that affine quiver varieties come in dual pairs $\mathfrak{R}_\mu^\lambda$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda^!}^{\mu^!}$, indexed by rank-level dual weight spaces. The reader could rightly protest that $\lambda^!$ depends on the weighting ϑ. However, different choices of weighting ϑ result in $\lambda^!$ which are conjugate under the action of the Weyl group, and the cone $\mathfrak{R}_{\lambda^!}^{\mu^!}$ only depends on the Weyl orbit of $\lambda^!$ by work of Maffei [Maf02]. In fact, for purposes of understanding duality, it is better to fix the weights $\lambda^!$ and μ to be dominant and think of the varieties $\mathfrak{M}_{w\mu}^\lambda$ as w ranges over the Weyl group W as the GIT quotients of E_μ^λ at the GIT stability conditions $w^{-1} \cdot \det$. If we also consider $-w^{-1} \cdot \det$, this gives us a (redundant) list containing a representative of every GIT chamber.

The different weightings of the Crawley-Boevey quiver form a similar chamber structure; in [Webc, 2.7], we define the notion of **Uglovation**, which sends the elements of each chamber to a standard representative. The walls that separate them are of the form

$$\vartheta_i - \vartheta_j - \kappa(r_i - r_j + me) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}.$$

These walls are unchanged (just reindexed) if we replace r_i by s_i for any charge with $r_i \equiv s_i \pmod{e}$.

We can identify identity the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_\ell$ of the torus $T_\ell \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^\ell$ of H with the span $\mathfrak{H}_\ell$ of the fundamental weights ω_i in the dual Cartan of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$ via the map

$$u_{\mathbf{s}}(\vartheta) = \kappa e\omega_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\vartheta_i - \kappa s_i)(\omega_i - \omega_{i+1})$$

these walls are defined by $(u(\vartheta), \alpha) = 0$ for all positive roots α of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\ell$. Note that if $w \cdot \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}'$ for $w \in \widehat{W}_\ell$, then $w \cdot u_{\mathbf{s}} = u_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot w = u_{\mathbf{s}'}$. Note that

$$u_{\mathbf{s}}(\vartheta_U) = e(\omega_1 - \omega_2) + 2e(\omega_2 - \omega_3) + \cdots + e(\ell - 1)(\omega_{\ell-1} - \omega_0) + e\ell\omega_0 = e\sum \omega_i. \tag{10}$$

Thus, under rank-level duality, the possible spaces of choices for GIT stability conditions and weightings switch; furthermore, these bijections preserve the appropriate chamber structures, sending the chamber containing our chosen weighting to the dominant Weyl chamber, by equation (10). The reader might object that not all

positive roots genuinely contribute GIT walls; this is compensated for by the fact that the corresponding Uglov weightings have the same relative core $\mathfrak{M}^+$ and associated category $\mathcal{O}$.

Proposition 5.32. *The hyperplane $(-, \alpha) = 0$ is a GIT wall for the reduction that presents $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu$ if and only if one of the multipartitions indexing a fixed point in $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu$ has a removable ribbon of residue α.*

Proof. Using the Weyl group action, we can rephrase the characterization of the GIT walls. Since the GIT walls for μ are sent to GIT walls for $w \cdot \mu$ by the action of $w \in \widehat{W}_e$, we need only prove this for $\alpha = \alpha_i$ a simple root.

Our claimed characterization is equivalent to the statement that for any μ, the locus $(-, \alpha_i)$ is a GIT wall if and only if one of the partitions indexing a fixed point has a removable box of residue i. The "if" direction is clear; the $\mathbb{T}$-fixed point corresponding to any multipartition with such a removable box provides an example of a strictly semi-stable representation on this wall.

Now consider the "only if." The points of $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu$ that become semi-stable on the wall are a closed $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{T}$-invariant subset which is by assumption non-empty. Thus, it must contain at least one point of the core, which we can assume is $\mathbb{T}$ invariant. The corresponding multi-partition has a removable box of the right residue. □

Proposition 5.33. *The walls in the Hamiltonian torus $\mathfrak{t}_\ell$ are given by those hyperplanes which correspond to GIT walls of $\mathfrak{M}^{\mu^!}_{\lambda^!}$ under duality.*

Proof. Consider the GIT wall attached to a root $\alpha_{k'} + \alpha_{k'+1} + \cdots \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k + m\delta$. In terms of abaci, the appearance of a removable ribbon of the right residue says that there must be some abacus of the right residue such that a bead of residue k can be moved down a runner $k - k' + 1 + me$ slots into an empty spot. The rank-level dual condition is that a bead of some fixed residue r in the kth runner can to moved to a slot of residue r in the k'th runner in the row ℓm slots down if $k > k'$, or $\ell(m+1)$ slots down if $k \leq k'$. This bead and slot it moves into are at the end of the leg and arm of some box in the kth partition, and the line in the tangent space corresponding to this box in the formula of [ST12, 5.10] has trivial $\mathbb{T}$ action. Thus the $\mathbb{T}$-fixed locus is positive dimensional.

The same formula shows that if there is no such bead, the $\mathbb{T}$ action on the tangent space at each fixed point has no invariants. Thus, all $\mathbb{T}$-fixed points remain isolated, and there is no wall. □

Since the kernel of the $\mathfrak{t}_\ell$ action on $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu$ is the intersection of all the walls with positive dimensional fixed locus, and the kernel of Kirwan map is the intersection of all the GIT walls, this further implies that:

Corollary 5.34. *The map $u_{\mathbf{s}}$ induces an injective map $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda_\mu \to H^2(\mathfrak{M}^{\mu^!}_{\lambda^!})$ from the quotient $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda_\mu$ of $\mathfrak{t}_\ell$ that acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu$. The image of this map coincides with that of the Kirwan map.*

This allows us to restate Corollary 5.25 and Theorem C in a more symmetric way. Let O^ξ_η be the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ attached to $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_w$ and the GIT stability condition η (which can switch places to be the same data for the rank-level dual).

Theorem 5.35. *There is a Koszul duality equivalence $D^b(\mathsf{O}_\eta^\xi) \cong D^b(\mathsf{O}_\xi^{-\eta})$, which intertwines the shuffling functor $\Psi^{\xi,\xi'} : D^b(\mathsf{O}_\eta^\xi) \to D^b(\mathsf{O}_\eta^{\xi'})$ with the twisting functor $\Phi^{\xi,\xi'} : D^b(\mathsf{O}_\xi^{-\eta}) \to D^b(\mathsf{O}_{\xi'}^{-\eta})$.*

For ease of reference, we collect together the pieces of data we require for a symplectic duality. Here we employ the notation of [BLPW].

- The set of simples in $\mathcal{O}_\mu^{\lambda;\theta_U}$ are indexed by ℓ-multipartitions whose total content is fixed by μ; these can be interpreted as ℓ-strand abaci. As proven in [Webc, 6.4], the Koszul duality bijection is given by cutting the abacus into $\ell \times e$ rectangles and flipping, as shown in (9).
- The poset $\mathscr{S}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathfrak{M}_\mu^\lambda}$ of special strata is in bijection with weights ν and integers n such that $\lambda \geq \nu \geq \nu - n\delta \geq \mu$, and the desired bijection to $\mathscr{S}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda^!}^{\mu^!}}$ sends $(\nu, n) \mapsto (\nu^! + n\delta, n)$.
- We have described maps $u_{\mathbf{t}} \colon \mathfrak{t}_{\lambda^!}^{\mu^!} \to H^2(\mathfrak{M}_\mu^\lambda; \mathbb{C})$ and $-u_{\mathbf{s}} \colon \mathfrak{t}_\mu^\lambda \to H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda^!}^{\mu^!}; \mathbb{C})$. These are isomorphisms if Kirwan surjectivity holds for affine type A quiver varieties, which we will assume from now on.
- The Namikawa Weyl group W is the stabilizer of μ under the action of $\widehat{W}_e$, and the Weyl group $\mathbb{W}$ of the group of Hamiltonian automorphisms commuting with $\mathbb{S}$ is the stabilizer of $\mathbf{s}$ under $\widehat{W}_\ell$.

Theorem 5.36. *These bijections together with Koszul duality of Corollary 5.25 define a* **symplectic duality** *in the sense of* [BLPW, §10] *between $\mathfrak{M}_\mu^\lambda$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda^!}^{\mu^!}$.*

Proof. We must check that:

- *The bijections between simples and special leaves are compatible with the map sending a simple to the associated variety of its annihilator:* This follows from Proposition 5.28.
- *The isomorphism $H^2(\mathfrak{M}_\mu^\lambda; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{t}_{\lambda^!}^{\mu^!}$ is compatible with the action of the stabilizer of μ in $\widehat{W}_e$ on both sides:* It is clear that the isomorphism $\mathfrak{t}_e \cong \mathfrak{H}_e$ intertwines the action of $\widehat{W}_e$ on $\mathfrak{t}_e$ by swapping coordinates and translating and the natural action on $\mathfrak{H}_e$, and the quotients inherit this action.
- *The ample cone in $H^2(\mathfrak{M}_\mu^\lambda; \mathbb{C})$ is sent to the chamber of the chamber of weightings with the same category $\mathcal{O}$ as $\vartheta_{U^!}$, and similarly for the ample cone of $H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda^!}^{\mu^!}; \mathbb{C})$ and $-\vartheta_U$:* For $u_{\mathbf{t}}$, this follows immediately from (10). The same argument works for the dual, since we have taken the negative map $-u_{\mathbf{s}}$.
- *The Koszul duality switches twisting and shuffling functors:* This follows from Theorem 5.31. □

5.5. **The finite type A case.** One important special case of Theorem 5.36 is the case where the coordinate of the dimension vector $v_0 = 0$. This results in a quiver variety for the finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_e$.

The finite type A case is simpler, first because the chamber of the action $\pm\theta_U$ only depends on the order of the weights on the new vertices in the Crawley-Boevey quiver. Thus, we need only consider the case of $\mathbf{s}$ with $1 \leq s_i \leq e-1$ and $\kappa > 0$; note that if we switch the sign of κ, this has the same effect as switching $\mathbf{s}$ to $\mathbf{s}_\circ = (s_\ell, \ldots, s_1)$. A partition with charge s_i which has no box of charge $0 = e$ is one that fits inside an $s_i \times (e - s_i)$ box. In terms of abaci this means that there is one interesting rectangle, corresponding to charges 1 through $e-1$, with all positions to the left filled, and all to the right unfilled. The associated matrices U will only have entries 0 or 1; this matrix actually fixes the multi-partition, since it has 1's in the positions filled with beads and 0's in the empty positions.

Thus, pictorially, we need only draw this one rectangle. For example, if we have $e = 4, \ell = 3$, and $\mathbf{s} = (3, 1, 2)$, then

$$\{(2), (1,1), (1,2)\} \leftrightarrow$$



The corresponding dimension vector for the A_3 quiver is $(2, 3, 2)$.

Fix a matrix U with entries only 0's and 1's. As usual, we have the row and column sums $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{t}$, the associated $\mathfrak{sl}_e$-weights λ, μ and the $\mathfrak{sl}_\ell$-weights constructed from the transposed matrix. Attached to this data, we have 3 different varieties, each of which is equipped with a preferred chamber in the space of $\mathbb{C}^*$-action.

- the type A_{e-1}-quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu$ introduced earlier, with the chamber determined by the order on $\mathbf{s}$.
- the S3-variety $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{t}}$. Here we use the notation of [BLPW, §10.2.2]; this is subvariety of the cotangent bundle to the space of flags of type $\mathbf{t}$, intersected with a slice to the orbit through a nilpotent $e_{\mathbf{s}}$ with Jordan type $\mathbf{s}$. If we choose a basis so that $e_{\mathbf{s}}$ is in Jordan normal form, with the order given by $\mathbf{s}$, the preferred chamber is the cocharacters which are diagonal with weakly decreasing weights in this basis.
- the resolved slice $\mathrm{Gr}^{\bar{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^!}_{\lambda^!}$ in the affine Grassmannian. Here, we use the notation of [KWWY]: we think of $\mu^!, \lambda^!$ as coweights for the Langlands dual group PGL_ℓ and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}^!$ be the sequence of fundamental coweights $(\omega^\vee_{t_1}, \ldots, \omega^\vee_{t_e})$. This is the preimage in the convolution variety $\mathrm{Gr}^{\omega^\vee_{t_1}} * \cdots * \mathrm{Gr}^{\omega^e_{t_1}}$ of a normal slice to $\mathrm{Gr}^{\lambda^!}$ inside $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mu^!}$. The preferred chamber is that containing $\rho^\vee$.

In each case, there is a "reversing map" on the combinatorial data; use the subscript $_\circ$ to denote this in each case: $\mathbf{s}_\circ, \mathbf{t}_\circ$ are just the reversals of the entries. We let $\mu_\circ = w_0 \cdot \mu$ and use $\lambda_\circ$ for the same weight, but to denote that we now prefer the inverse of the preferred chamber of cocharacters. Similarly, $\boldsymbol{\mu}^!_\circ$ is the reversal of this sequence, and $\lambda^!_\circ = w_0 \cdot \lambda^!$.

Note that all of these objects are of type A, but they all involve different ranks. Work of Maffei [Maf05] and Mirković-Vybornov [MV07] establishes that the varieties $\mathfrak{M}^\lambda_\mu$, $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{t}}$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^{\bar{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^!}_{\lambda^!}$ are isomorphism, and [Los12, 4.6.4] shows that in fact they are symplectomorphic.

Of course, this means that Theorem 5.36 tells us how to find the symplectic dual of each of these varieties. However, some care about signs is needed since our formulation of this theorem involves negating θ.

Theorem 5.37. *The symplectic dual of* $\mathfrak{M}_\mu^\lambda \cong \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{s}} \cong \mathrm{Gr}_{\lambda^!}^{\bar{\mu}^!}$ *is the variety* $\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda^!}^{\mu^!} \cong \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{t}} \cong \mathrm{Gr}_\mu^{\bar{\lambda}}$ *with the opposite of the preferred* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-action. This is equivalent to instead considering*

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda^!}^{\mu^!_\circ} \cong \mathfrak{M}_{\lambda^!_\circ}^{\mu^!} \cong \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{t}_\circ} \cong \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{s}_\circ}^{\mathbf{t}} \cong \mathrm{Gr}_\mu^{\bar{\lambda}_\circ} \cong \mathrm{Gr}_{\mu_\circ}^{\bar{\lambda}}$$

with the preferred $\mathbb{C}^*$*-action.*

References

[Ach] Pramod Achar, *Equivariant mixed Hodge modules*, lecture notes.

[Ber] Joseph Bernstein, *Algebraic theory of D-modules*, preprint.

[BG] Vladimir Baranovsky and Victor Ginzburg, personal communication.

[BK04] Roman Bezrukavnikov and Dmitry Kaledin, *Fedosov quantization in algebraic context*, Mosc. Math. J. **4** (2004), no. 3, 559–592, 782. MR MR2119140 (2006j:53130)

[BK07] Arkady Berenstein and David Kazhdan, *Geometric and unipotent crystals. II. From unipotent bicrystals to crystal bases*, Quantum groups, Contemp. Math., vol. 433, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 13–88.

[BL] R. Bezrukavnikov and I. Losev, *Etingof conjecture for quantized quiver varieties*, `arXiv:1309.1716`.

[BLPW] Tom Braden, Anthony Licata, Nicholas Proudfoot, and Ben Webster, *Quantizations of conical symplectic resolutions II: category* $\mathcal{O}$, `arXiv:1407.0964`.

[BLPW10] ———, *Gale duality and Koszul duality*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 4, 2002–2049.

[BLPW12] ———, *Hypertoric category* $\mathcal{O}$, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 3-4, 1487–1545.

[Boza] Tristan Bozec, *Quivers with loops and Lagrangian subvarieties*, `arXiv:1311.5396`.

[Bozb] ———, *Quivers with loops and perverse sheaves*, `arXiv:1401.5302`.

[BPW] Tom Braden, Nicholas J. Proudfoot, and Ben Webster, *Quantizations of conical symplectic resolutions I: local and global structure*, `arXiv:1208.3863`.

[CDK] Sabin Cautis, Christopher Dodd, and Joel Kamnitzer, *Associated graded of Hodge modules and categorical* $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ *actions*, `arXiv:1603.07402`.

[CG97] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1997. MR 98i:22021

[CK12] Sabin Cautis and Joel Kamnitzer, *Braiding via geometric Lie algebra actions*, Compos. Math. **148** (2012), no. 2, 464–506. MR 2904194

[CR08] Joseph Chuang and Raphaël Rouquier, *Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and* $\mathfrak{sl}_2$*-categorification*, Ann. of Math. (2) **167** (2008), no. 1, 245–298.

[DDF12] Bangming Deng, Jie Du, and Qiang Fu, *A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur-Weyl theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 401, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. MR 3113018

[Dri04] Vladimir Drinfeld, *DG quotients of DG categories*, J. Algebra **272** (2004), no. 2, 643–691. MR 2028075 (2006e:18018)

[EK10] Ben Elias and Mikhail Khovanov, *Diagrammatics for Soergel categories*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. (2010), Art. ID 978635, 58. MR 3095655

[Eti12] Pavel Etingof, *Symplectic reflection algebras and affine Lie algebras*, Mosc. Math. J. **12** (2012), no. 3, 543–565, 668–669.

[EW] Ben Elias and Geordie Willamson, *Soergel Calculus*, `arXiv:1309.0865`.

[GGOR03] Victor Ginzburg, Nicolas Guay, Eric Opdam, and Raphaël Rouquier, *On the category* $\mathcal{O}$ *for rational Cherednik algebras*, Invent. Math. **154** (2003), no. 3, 617–651.

[Hub10] A. Hubery, *Three presentations of the Hopf algebra* $\mathcal{U}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$, 2010, preprint.

[KL09] Mikhail Khovanov and Aaron D. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups. I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347.

[KR08] Masaki Kashiwara and Raphaël Rouquier, *Microlocalization of rational Cherednik algebras*, Duke Math. J. **144** (2008), no. 3, 525–573. MR MR2444305

[KS97] Masaki Kashiwara and Yoshihisa Saito, *Geometric construction of crystal bases*, Duke Math. J. **89** (1997), no. 1, 9–36. MR MR1458969 (99e:17025)

[KS12] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira, *Deformation quantization modules*, Astérisque (2012), no. 345, xii+147. MR 3012169

[KWWY] Joel Kamnitzer, Ben Webster, Alex Weekes, and Oded Yacobi, *Yangians and quantizations of slices in the affine Grassmannian*, `arXiv:1209.0349`.

[Li14] Yiqiang Li, *Tensor product varieties, perverse sheaves, and stability conditions*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **20** (2014), no. 2, 359–401. MR 3177922

[Los] I. Losev, *On categories $\mathcal{O}$ for quantized symplectic resolutions*, `arXiv:1502.00595`.

[Los12] Ivan Losev, *Isomorphisms of quantizations via quantization of resolutions*, Adv. in Math. (2012), no. 231, 1216–1270.

[Los13] ———, *Highest weight $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorifications I: crystals*, Math. Z. **274** (2013), no. 3-4, 1231–1247. MR 3078265

[Lus91] George Lusztig, *Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 2, 365–421. MR MR1088333 (91m:17018)

[Maf02] Andrea Maffei, *A remark on quiver varieties and Weyl groups*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **1** (2002), no. 3, 649–686. MR 1990675 (2004h:14051)

[Maf05] Andrea Maffei, *Quiver varieties of type A*, Comment. Math. Helv. **80** (2005), no. 1, 1–27.

[MN] Kevin McGerty and Thomas Nevins, *Morse decomposition for D-module categories on stacks*, `arXiv:1402.7365`.

[MN14] ———, *Derived equivalence for quantum symplectic resolutions*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **20** (2014), no. 2, 675–717. MR 3177930

[MOS09] Volodymyr Mazorchuk, Serge Ovsienko, and Catharina Stroppel, *Quadratic duals, Koszul dual functors, and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), no. 3, 1129–1172.

[MV07] I. Mirković and M. Vybornov, *Quiver varieties and Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannians of type A*, 2007, `arXiv:0712.4160`.

[Nak94] Hiraku Nakajima, *Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. J. **76** (1994), no. 2, 365–416. MR MR1302318 (95i:53051)

[Nak98] ———, *Quiver varieties and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. J. **91** (1998), no. 3, 515–560. MR MR1604167 (99b:17033)

[Nak01] ———, *Quiver varieties and tensor products*, Invent. Math. **146** (2001), no. 2, 399–449. MR MR1865400 (2003e:17023)

[NY04] Hiraku Nakajima and Kōta Yoshioka, *Lectures on instanton counting*, Algebraic structures and moduli spaces, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 31–101.

[Pro08] Nicholas Proudfoot, *A survey of hypertoric geometry and topology*, Toric Topology, Contemp. Math., vol. 460, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 323–338.

[Rou] Raphael Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, `arXiv:0812.5023`.

[Sai90] Morihiko Saito, *Mixed Hodge modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26** (1990), no. 2, 221–333. MR 1047415 (91m:14014)

[Sai02] Yoshihisa Saito, *Crystal bases and quiver varieties*, Math. Ann. **324** (2002), no. 4, 675–688. MR MR1942245 (2004a:17023)

[Sau] Julia Sauter, *A survey on Springer theory*, `arXiv:1307.0973`.

[ST12] Steven V Sam and Peter Tingley, *Combinatorial realizations of crystals via torus actions on quiver varieties*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics (2012), 1–30.

[SW] Catharina Stroppel and Ben Webster, *Quiver Schur algebras and q-Fock space*, `arXiv:1110.1115`.

[VV11] Michela Varagnolo and Eric Vasserot, *Canonical bases and KLR-algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **659** (2011), 67–100.

[Weba] Ben Webster, *A categorical action on quantized quiver varieties*, arXiv:1208.5957.

[Webb] ———, *Knot invariants and higher representation theory*, to appear in the Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society; arXiv:1309.3796.

[Webc] ———, *Rouquier's conjecture and diagrammatic algebra*, arXiv:1306.0074.

[Webd] ———, *Weighted Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras*, arXiv:1209.2463.

[Web15] ———, *Canonical bases and higher representation theory*, Compos. Math. **151** (2015), no. 1, 121–166.